

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency California Department of Public Health



October 23, 2013 Board of Supervisors County of Tulare 2800 West Burrel Avenue Visalia, CA 93291

LOCAL PRIMACY AGENCY ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013

Enclosed is the "Local Primacy Agency Annual Evaluation Report" for the Tulare County Health & Human Services Agency. The report covers the period from July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. This evaluation and report are required under Section 116330(d) of the California Health and Safety Code. The purpose of the evaluation is to review the status of the small water system program that is being conducted under the Local Primacy Delegation Agreement in effect between your Health & Human Services Agency and the California Department of Public Health.

Based on the evaluation findings, it has been determined that your Health & Human Services Agency is not in compliance with the Department's local primacy program requirements at this time. The Local Primacy Agency (LPA) has not met the goals and objectives established in the FY 12/13 Workplan. The Department has identified the required items that are necessary to meet the Health and Safety Code.

The number of public water systems with ongoing primary MCL violations represents a significant workload for the lead staff assigned to bring these systems into compliance. Lead staff in the LPA program must have detailed knowledge of all aspects of small water systems as well as the laws and regulations governing public water systems. Therefore, it is important that the Tulare County Health & Human Services Agency allocates the resources to recruit, develop, retain, promote, and support staff to assure an able, knowledgeable, and motivated workforce is available to run the program effectively. There have been four different lead specialists in the water program over the last 10 which has resulted in a lack of continuity of institutional knowledge, experience and oversight in the water program.

To address these concerns, CDPH is planning to assume regulatory jurisdiction of the 61 community water systems in Tulare County. This will allow the County to focus its existing resources on the 76 non-transient non-community and 153 transient water systems in the County.

Tulare County LPA Evaluation FY 12/13 October 22, 2013

Please review the report, we will be can meet with the County to discuss the evaluation once it has been reviewed. If you have any questions, please contact me at (559) 447-3300.

Sincerely,

Tricia A. Wathen, P.E.

Senior Sanitary Engineer SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BRANCH DRINKING WATER FIELD OPERATIONS

Micia a Wather

TAW/tw Enclosure

cc:

Jason Britt, Tulare County Health & Human Services Agency Nilsa Gonzalez, Tulare County Health & Human Services Agency Miguel Herrera, Tulare County Health & Human Services Agency Wendy Killou, CDPH SWS Unit

Carl Carlucci, CDPH Regional Engineer

Local Primacy Agency Annual Program Evaluation County of Tulare Fiscal Year 2012-2013

INTRODUCTION

On August 6 and 7th, 2013, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) conducted the annual evaluation of the Tulare County Small Water System Program (SWSP) for the fiscal year (FY) 2012-2013. Tulare County's Environmental Health Agency has been delegated as a Local Primacy Agency (LPA) and is the County entity responsible for administering the SWSP for public water systems (PWS) of less than 200 service connections.

CDPH representatives conducting the evaluation were Ms. Tricia Wathen, Visalia District Engineer; Mr. Carl Carlucci, CDPH Regional Engineer; Ms. Wendy Killou, Small Water Systems Unit Supervisor and Mr. Gene Reade, retired annuitant. Representing the LPA was Ms. Nilsa Gonzalez, Environmental Health Supervisor; Mr. Miguel Herrera, Water Specialist-Register Health Specialist II; Mr. Yubley Vang, Field Inspector-Registered Health Specialist II; and Mr. Paul Charpentier, Staff Services Analyst II. This evaluation and report is mandated by California Health and Safety Code (CHSC) Section 116330(d). The purpose of the evaluation is to determine the program status with respect to the objectives set forth in the Tulare County LPA Delegation Work Plan for FY 2012-2013. This report summarizes the findings, recommendations, and directives of the Visalia District, Southern CDPH Drinking Water Field Operations Branch as it relates to LPA program elements as set forth in California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 22, Sections 64253 through 64260.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION FINDINGS

The Tulare County LPA has attempted to initiate and implement compliance with all current requirements of the California Safe Drinking Water Act for the small PWSs located within the county and is working to secure compliance. Tulare County LPA has not met the program objectives that were outlined in the approved FY 2012-2013 work plan and the LPA Program requirements set forth in CCR Title 22, Sections 64253 through 64260.

DIRECTIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDPH finds that the Tulare County LPA is not achieving general compliance with the LPA requirements for small PWSs and did not meet the goals and objectives established in the FY 2012-2013 work plan. By not meeting the goals and objectives, the public health of public water systems regulated by the LPA is unknown and thus a public health threat and concern.

CDPH makes the following directives and recommendations regarding the County's program:

- The LPA must conduct a TMF evaluation of any new public water system or change of ownership. A new water supply permit should not be issued unless all mandatory TMF elements have been provided.
- 2. The LPA needs to work with the County Planning Department to identify any new proposed public water systems so that the LPA can provide comment on the source of water and/or require the proposed new system to connect to a nearby existing public waters system.
- 3. The LPA must annually evaluate all the state small water systems to determine if any now meet the definition of a public water system. If the system meets the definition of a public water system, the system should be reclassified, permitted, and regulated as such in a timely manner.
- 4. The LPA needs to conduct sanitary surveys (inspections) and evaluate all 8 elements of a public water system as required by regulations. The sanitary survey report should clearly identify any deficiencies with an associated deadline for submittal. The continued use of a table for deficient water quality sampling with no associated deadlines is not acceptable.
- 5. The LPA needs to conduct follow-up on deadlines associated with enforcement actions or permits to ensure the required sampling or reports are conducted and the required information is provided timely. If the required information is not provided, the

- LPA needs to escalate the enforcement action or issue an appropriate enforcement action.
- 6. By December 31st of each year, the LPA needs to issue a Citation (addressing action) to any water system that does not have a required distribution system or treatment operator.
- 7. By February 1, 2014, the LPA should provide the small water system Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) template to their public water systems so the required CCR information is used in the template and provided to the customers. The LPA should be checking for the new template when the Certification is provided to them from the public water system.
- 8. The County indicated they were going to use the Access database (provided and used by the Visalia District) during the FY 2012-2013 for TCR tracking.
- 9. The LPA started issuing Citations for TCR MCL violations in January 2013 and needs to continue issuing Citations or Compliance Orders for TCR MCL violations.
- 10. For any construction projects new well or treatment plant, the LPA needs to confirm that CEQA has been completed before a water supply permit is issued. The State Clearinghouse number, date of circulation, and type of CEQA clearance should be identified in the permit.
- 11. Quarterly, the LPA is recommended to request a Water Quality Monitoring Report from CDPH to ensure that the water systems are collecting samples and requesting that their accredited environmental health lab is successfully transmitting the water quality data electronically to CDPH as stated in CCR Title 22, Section 64469 (c). Requests for these reports can be made to District staff or Small Water Systems staff.
- 12. The LPA shall correct TCR MCL violations that have a quarterly date range (as highlighted in this report) and update the record in their database of record with the correct begin and end date (monthly compliance period) that the water system violated the TCR MCL. The corrected data shall be transmitted to CDPH during the EDT process.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 3 of 63

- 13. By July 1, 2014, the LPA shall require all public water system to update their Emergency Notification Plan using the state formatted form.
- 14. The LPA shall continue to enter quarterly violations for water systems that are violating the arsenic and nitrate MCL.
- 15. The LPA shall issue revised water supply permits at least every 10 years or when significant changes are made to PWSs using the state formatted permit including a state approved technical report, permit and certificate.
- 16. The LPA should utilize the CDPH Lead and Copper Rule database for tracking compliance with monitoring action level exceedances and monitoring and reporting of sampling for lead and copper. Contact Jim Stites to obtain a copy of the database and training on data entry and running the compliance determination reports.

The number of public water systems in Tulare County with ongoing primary maximum contaminant level (MCL) violations is high and a large number of systems are out of compliance. This represents a significant workload for the SWS program staff assigned to bring these systems into compliance. The SWS program staff in the LPA program must have detailed knowledge of all aspects of small water systems as well as the laws and regulations governing public water systems.

It is extremely important that Tulare County continues to allocate the resources to recruit, develop, retain, promote, and support staff to assure an able, knowledgeable, and motivated workforce is available to run the water program effectively. Due to significant deficiencies noted in this evaluation and the significant workload of public water systems with nitrate violations and bacteriological problems, the LPA should add positions to this program to ensure the public is receiving water that meets the Safe Drinking Water Act.

To address these concerns, CDPH is planning to assume regulatory jurisdiction of the 61 community water systems in Tulare County. This will allow the LPA to focus its existing staffing and resources on the 79 nontransient noncommunity and 164 transient noncommunity water systems in the County. CDPH has concerns with the

Date: October 23, 2013

Date: 10/23/2013

Local Primacy Agency Annual Evaluation County of Tulare

public health and oversight of the existing public water systems and the existing staffing within the Tulare County LPA program.

CDPH and the Tulare County LPA have been meeting regarding the new Delegation Agreement that needs to be signed by November 30, 2013 to be grant eligible for State Funding to assist LPA provide oversight and bring the LPA regulated systems into compliance with Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) with is a USEPA required tracking and reporting system. CDPH is working with the existing Tulare County LPA and County Counsel on new Delegation Agreement and program and staffing. CDPH looks forward to our continued partnership as we share the oversight of PWSs in Tulare County.

Report Submitted By:

Tricia	A.	Wathen,	P.E.

Senior Sanitary Engineer, Visalia District CDPH Drinking Water Field Operations

Drivia a. Wather

Report Prepared By:

Wendy Killou, Senior Environmental Scientist

CDPH Small Water Systems Unit

Appendices:

Appendix A - LPA Permit Activities Appendix B - Inventory Maintenance

Appendix C - Routine Inspections/Sanitary Surveys

Appendix D - Water Quality Monitoring Activities

Appendix E - Reporting to CDPH

Appendix F - Enforcement Activities

Appendix G - Program Management/Work Plans

Appendix H - LPA Water System Inventory

Appendix I - CDPH Infrastructure Financing Programs
Appendix J - CDPH Small Water Systems Program Plan

Appendix K - LPA File Review

Appendix L - Water Systems Exceeding a Primary MCL(s) and Providing Treatment

Appendix A: LPA Permit Activities - CCR Title 22, Section 64254

All small PWSs are required to have a Domestic Water Supply Permit that must be accompanied by a technical report. These reports are submitted and reviewed at the time new water systems are proposed or an existing system is modified. The LPA issues new or amended water supply permits following a determination that the technical report is complete and an inspection of the new or modified water system is performed. In addition, the LPA primacy regulations require that all permits be re-evaluated at least once every 10 years to confirm that the permit information is current and that the permits contain conditions appropriate to ensure the proper operation of the water systems. The LPA typically renews a permit with a fee submittal but does not necessarily issue a revised permit in the CDPH format which includes a technical report, permit provisions and certificate every 10 years. The LPA needs to issue revised permits to PWSs at least every 10 years or whenever significant changes are made to PWSs in the CDPH format which includes a technical report, permit provisions and certificate. The LPA issued two water supply permits which was not close to the number of permits (39) identified in the FY 2012-2013 Work Plan. The two permits issued were in the CDPH format including a technical report, permit provisions and certificate.

For any construction projects, new well or treatment plant, the County needs to confirm that CEQA has been completed before a water supply permit is issued. The State Clearinghouse number, date of circulation, and type of CEQA clearance should be identified in the permit. During FY 2012-2013, the LPA issued water supply permits in the CDPH format as summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Permits Issued

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Classification*	New/Full	Amended	Reason
5402050	MILK SPECIALTIES GLOBAL	Р	×		Issued 6/7/2013- Change of Ownership
5403147	EXETER KINGDOM HALL	Р	×		Issued 6/3/2013-New Water System

^{*}C=Community P=Non-transient Non-community N=Transient Non-community

TMF Capacity Evaluation Implementation

The 1996 federal Safe Drinking Water Act amendments specified that new PWSs must have technical, managerial, and financial (TMF) capacity before they are permitted to operate by primacy agencies. A new PWS must demonstrate through its financial resources, technical resources, organizational structure, and personnel that it has the capability to comply with all applicable drinking water standards and regulations on an ongoing basis. As a condition of granting CDPH primacy to conduct the PWS regulatory program in California, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) requires that CDPH have a mechanism in place to prevent the formation of new PWSs that do not have adequate TMF capacity. CDPH has chosen to incorporate the TMF requirements into its permitting process. In addition, under CHSC Section 116540(b) all PWSs that undergo a change of ownership after January 1, 1998, must demonstrate adequate TMF capacity before being granted a new permit. CDPH has developed criteria to be used in assessing the TMF capacity of PWSs.

All LPAs are responsible for ensuring that new PWSs, PWSs undergoing a change of ownership, and funding program applicants have adequate TMF capacity or can acquire it in a specific time period. As such, permits issued by an LPA in all of these cases must contain provisions that require compliance with TMF capacity requirements as applicable. The County has incorporated the TMF capacity requirements into its permit process for new water systems and systems changing ownership.

During the planning process and as part of the TMF evaluation, any proposed new public water system should be required to evaluate the cost of connecting to another public water

system so that small public water systems are not formed. Where feasible, any proposed new public water system should be required to connect to another public water system. This hopefully reduces the formation of small water systems that may have TMF issues in the future and be out of compliance with water quality issues in the future. The LPA needs to work with the County Planning Department to identify any new proposed public water systems so that the LPA can provide comment on the source of water and/or require the proposed new system to connect to a nearby existing public waters system.

THE LPA IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX A REQUIREMENTS:

☐ YES ⊠ NO

The LPA was deficient with CCR Title 22, Section 64254 because the number of water supply permits that were identified in the FY 2011-2012 work plan was not completed. In addition, the permits issued did not include CEQA clearance information. The LPA has not been issuing a revised 10-year permit in a CDPH format with a detailed report and permit provisions as identified above.

Appendix B: Inventory Maintenance - CCR Title 22, Section 64255

The PWS inventory in Tulare County consists of 304 water systems including: 61 community, 79 nontransient noncommunity, and 164 transient noncommunity PWSs as summarized in Table 2. Changes in the inventory during FY 2012-2013 are listed in Table 3. A detailed list of Tulare County small PWSs are found in Appendix H. The LPA maintains the following minimum required information for all PWSs in their Decade EnvisionConnect database.

- Water system name
- Water system identification number
- Mailing address
- Type of water system

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 8 of 63

- Name, address, and phone number of the responsible party
- Type of ownership
- Type of water source
- Type of treatment if any
- Dates of operation for seasonally operated systems
- Number of service connections
- Population served

Table 2: Current Water System Inventory

Water System Type	FY 2012-2013	FY 2011-2012
Community	61	50
Nontransient Noncommunity	79	73
Transient Noncommunity	164	147
Total	304	270

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 9 of 63

Table 3: Water System Inventory Changes (Based on what was provided by the LPA and not all inventory changes are noted below)

PWS	System Name	Activity	PWS Class	sification	Comments
Number	agradum. •		Current	Prior	
5400580	ALTA VISTA MHP	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400570	CHINOWTH STREET APTS.	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5403148	COURAGE TO CHANGE	Reclassification	NTNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400744	EAST THREE RIVERS MUTUAL	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5403158	EAST VANDALIA WC-WEST	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400769	FOOTHILL APTS.	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400759	GIUMARR VINEYARDS 1&2	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5403089	GRUMPY BEARS	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400750	KAWEAH PARK RESORT	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5403088	KENNEDY MEADOWS GENERAL STORE	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
54030756	LAZY J RANCH MOTEL	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400887	PARK WATER SYSTEM	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5403149	RANCHO LOPEZ	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400743	SEQUOIA MOTEL 1 & 2	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400964	SIERRA VISTA ASSN	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.

PWS	System Name	Activity	PWS Class	sification	Comments
Number	Number		Current	Prior	
5403073	SLATE MTN HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5403038	SPRINGVILLE WATER CO	Reclassification	Community	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.
5400538	TCOVE	Reclassification	TNC	State Small	Reclassification upon LPA review and water system feedback.

State Small Water System Inventory

The LPA retains oversight of the state small water systems within the County, which serve between 5 and 14 service connections. During FY 2011-2012, the County identified 64 state small water systems. However, during the review of this inventory, CDPH noted that the LPA did not classify all of the systems correctly and it appeared that there may be a significant number of these state small water systems that are actually public water systems.

A public water system is defined as "a system for the provision of water for human consumption that has 15 or more service connections or regularly serves at least 25 individuals daily at least 60 days out of the year." Based on a review of the State Small Water Systems in the County, approximately 35 new public water systems were identified. This is the reason for the large increase in public water systems during this last fiscal year. The LPA needs to review the state small system inventory annually and determine which systems meet the definition of a public water system and classify the system correctly as either a state small water system or a public water system (community, nontransient noncommunity or transient water system). If the system is classified as a public water system, the LPA needs to issue the public water system a permit and begin regulating the public water system in a timely manner.

THE LPA I	S IN COMPL	IANCE WITH	APPENDIX	B REQU	IREMENTS:
			YES	☐ NO	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 11 of 63

Appendix C: Routine Inspections/Sanitary Surveys - CCR Title 22, Section 64255

Routine inspection and sanitary survey frequencies are based on the type of water system, source type, and any required treatment. The frequencies are as follows, along with the CDPH performance requirements:

Water System Classification	Water Type (Surface/GW)	Treated / Untreated	Statutory Minimum Requirement	Regulatory Minimum Requirement	CDPH Minimum Performance Requirements
Community	Surface Water	Treated	Every 1 years	Every 2 years	Every 3 years
Non-community	Surface Water	Treated	Every 1 years	Every 2 years	Every 5 years
Community	Groundwater	Treated	Every 2 years	Every 2 years	Every 3 years
Non-community	Groundwater	Treated	Every 2 years	Every 2 years	Every 5 years
Community	Groundwater	Untreated	Every 3 years	Every 5 years	Every 3 years
Non-community	Groundwater	Untreated	Every 3 years	Every 5 years	Every 5 years

A sanitary survey consists of a review of the water system permit and files as well as an onsite inspection of 8 elements in order to evaluate the water system's ability to produce and distribute safe and reliable drinking water. The components of a sanitary survey are:

- 1. source(s)
- 2. treatment
- 3. distribution system
- 4. finished water storage
- 5. pumps, pump facilities, and controls
- 6. monitoring, reporting, and data verification
- 7. system management and operation
- 8. operator compliance with State requirements

The LPA does not consistently record or follow-up with water system deficiencies found during routine inspections or sanitary surveys to ensure corrective actions are conducted.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 12 of 63

Enforcement actions are typically not issued when a water system fails to correct the noted deficiencies in a timely manner.

The County's FY 2012-2013 work plan specifies the sanitary surveys will be conducted at the following frequencies base on District areas in the County:

- Small PWSs using surface water or systems treating to meet a primary drinking water standard: once every 2 years.
- Small community PWSs using groundwater without treatment: once every 3 years.
- Small noncommunity PWSs using groundwater without treatment: once every 5 years.

The FY 2012-2013 work plan identified 67 water systems that would receive routine surveys. conducted 11 scheduled routine inspections/sanitary LPA staff surveys which is only 30% of the inspections/sanitary projected routine inspections/sanitary surveys in the FY 2012-2013 work plan and 3.6% of the LPA's **PWS inventory.** A total of 9 unscheduled inspections/sanitary surveys were also conducted. A summary of the routine inspections/sanitary surveys conducted are shown in Tables 4 through 11.

Table 4: Community Public Water Systems using Surface Water

PWS Number	System Name	Date Inspection/Sanitary Survey Completed*	Meets CDPH Performance Requirement? (Y/N) (Every 3 years)
Scheduled Rout	ine Inspections/Sanitary Surv	/eys	
	Not A	pplicable	
Unscheduled Ins	spections/Sanitary Surveys		
	Not A	pplicable	

^{*}Inspections include all 8 elements of a sanitary survey.

Table 5: Non-community Public Water Systems using Surface Water

PWS Number	System Name	Date Inspection/Sanitary Survey Completed*	Meets CDPH Performance Requirement? (Y/N) (Every 5 years)
Scheduled Rout	ine Inspections/Sanitary Sur	veys	
	Not A	Applicable	
Unscheduled Ins	spections/Sanitary Surveys	The state of the s	
	Not A	\pplicable	

^{*}Inspections include all 8 elements of a sanitary survey.

Table 6: Community Water Systems using Treated Groundwater to Comply with a Primary Drinking Water Standard(s)

PWS Number	System Name	Date Inspection/Sanitary Survey Completed*	Meets CDPH Performance Requirement? (Y/N) (Every 3 years)
Scheduled Rout	ine Inspections/Sanitary Sur	veys	
	None	completed	
Unscheduled Ins	spections/Sanitary Surveys		
		completed	

^{*}Inspections include all 8 elements of a sanitary survey.

Table 7: Non-community Water Systems using Treated Groundwater to Comply with a Primary Drinking Water Standard(s)

PWS Number	System Name	Date Inspection/Sanitary Survey Completed*	Meets CDPH Performance Requirement? (Y/N) (Every 5 years)
Scheduled Routi	ne Inspections/Sanitary Sur	veys	
	None	completed	
Unscheduled Ins	pections/Sanitary Surveys	Commence of the Commence of th	Electronic State of the State o
	None	completed	

^{*}Inspections include all 8 elements of a sanitary survey.

Table 8: Community Water Systems using Untreated Groundwater

PWS Number	System Name	Date Inspection/Sanitary Survey Completed*	Meets CDPH Performance Requirement? (Y/N) (Every 3 years)
Scheduled	Routine Inspections/Sanitary Surveys		
5400728	GRIER MUTUAL WATER CO	06/27/2013	Υ
5400631	LINNELL FARM LABOR CENTER	06/18/2013	Υ
5403134	RIVER RETREAT MUTUAL	12/05/2012	Υ
5400880	THE LAKES	04/18/2013	Υ
5400792	WOODVILLE FARM LABOR CENTER	06/20/2013	Y
5400647	YOKOHL MUTUAL WATER CO	06/03/2013	Υ
Unschedule	ed Inspections/Sanitary Surveys		
5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	07/16/2012	Υ
5400616	LEMON COVE WATER CO	03/27/2013	Υ

^{*}Inspections include all 8 elements of a sanitary survey.

Table 9: Non-community Water Systems using Untreated Groundwater

PWS Number	System Name	Date Inspection/Sanitary Survey Completed*	Meets CDPH Performance Requirement? (Y/N) (Every 5 years)
Scheduled	Routine Inspections/Sanitary Surveys		
5400696	ARMY CORPS ENGS-NORTH CAMP 4-2	02/05/2013	Y
5400695	ARMY CORPS ENGS-SOUTH CAMP 3-1	02/05/2013	Y
5400778	SCICON	06/04/2013	Υ
5400704	THREE RIVERS SCHOOL	01/22/2013	Υ
5403145	WAWONA PACKING COMPANY LLC	02/21/2013	Y
Unschedule	ed Inspections/Sanitary Surveys		
5403144	ALI WATER CO	09/27/2012	Υ
5403062	COMFORT INN & SUITES	09/27/2012	Υ
5402025	LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	11/27/2012	Υ
5402050	MILK SPECIALTIES GLOBAL	06/12/2013	Υ
5402050	MILK SPECIALTIES GLOBAL	02/05/2013	Υ
5403140	MONARCH NUT CO	07/17/2012	Υ
5400774	PIXLEY PARK	10/02/2012	Υ

^{*}Inspections include all 8 elements of a sanitary survey.

Table 10: Unscheduled Inspection Activities

PWS Number	System Name	Date	Reason for Inspection
5400810	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	01/09/2013	FIELD
5401065	FANCHER CREEK PACKING INC	03/26/2013	FIELD
5401033	HORIZON NUT LLC	01/08/2013	FIELD
5403048	JD HEISKELL HOLDINGS LLC	04/23/2013	FIELD
5400537	LINDYS LANDING	03/19/2013	FIELD
5400537	LINDYS LANDING	03/18/2013	FIELD
5403053	NS MINI MART	12/17/2012	FIELD
5403053	NS MINI MART	11/27/2012	FIELD
5402038	PATTERSON TRACT CSD	01/23/2013	FIELD
5400529	SHADY GROVE M H P	03/14/2013	FIELD
5400704	THREE RIVERS SCHOOL	04/04/2013	FIELD

Table 11: Scheduled Water Systems That Were Not Inspected

PWS Number	System Name	Projected Months/Year	Reason for No Inspection
5401038	AKIN WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5400757	ARMY CORPS ENG-HORSE CREEK	JunDec. 2012	
5400816	BEDEL MUTUAL WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
54010074	BOB WILEY DETENTION FACILITY	JanJul. 2013	
5400985	BOB'S TINY MART	JanJul. 2013	
5400894	CAMP SAN JOAQUIN	JunDec. 2012	
5401010	CAMP WHITSETT	JunDec. 2012	
5401049	CAMP WILDERNESS	JunDec. 2012	
5400655	CENTRAL MUTUAL WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5400682	CENTRAL WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5400890	CLM-FIR & COVE GROUP CAMPGROUNDS	JunDec. 2012	
5400889	CLM-STONEY CREEK CAMPGROUND	JunDec. 2012	
5401026	DEER MEADOW MUTUAL	JanJul. 2013	
5400896	FAR HORIZON CAMP	JunDec. 2012	
5400657	HESTER SCHOOL	JunDec. 2012	
5401033	HORIZON GROWERS	JanJul. 2013	
5403090	IN & OUT FOOD MART	JanJul. 2013	
5400699	KAWEAH HIGH SCHOOL	JunDec. 2012	
5400616	LEMON COVE WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5403001	LOWER SPRINGS WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5400898	MONTECITO-SEQUIOA CAMP	JunDec. 2012	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 16 of 63

PWS	System Name	Projected Months/Year	Reason for No Inspection
Number	MOONEY GROVE PARK	JanJul. 2013	mspection
5400951		JunDec. 2012	
5400819	MOUNTAIN VIEW MHP	JunDec. 2012	
5403128	MOZZARELLA FRESCA	JunDec. 2012	
5400724	PANORAMA PARK FIRE PRO CO	JunDec. 2012	
5403081	PETERS FRUIT FAMS, INC	JunDec. 2012	
5400732	PIERPOINT SPRINGS WATER CO		
54 00934	PONDEROSA CSD	JunDec. 2012	
5400895	PYTHIAN YOUTH CAMP	JunDec. 2012	
5401001	SEQUOIA CIDER MILL	JanJul. 2013	
5400701	SEQUOIA CREST WATER CO	JanJul. 2013	
5400527	SHILOH WATER CO	JanJul. 2013	
5400940	SIERRA KING HOMEOWNERS ASSN	JunDec. 2012	
5400924	SIERRA LAKES	JunDec. 2012	
5400916	SIERRA MASONIC WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5400552	STONEY CREEK- Restaurant Store	JunDec. 2012	
5401004	STYROTEK	JanJul. 2013	
5400714	SUNDALE UNION SCHOOL	JunDec. 2012	
5401022	THREE RIVERS GOLF COURSE	JunDec. 2012	
5400920	TIPTON ELEM SCHOOL	JanJul. 2013	
5400903	TRACT 92 CSD	JunDec. 2012	
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	JanJul. 2013	
5400797	TULARE CO CORRECTIONAL CENTER	JanJul. 2013	
5401039	TULARE COUNTY CIVIC CENTER	JanJul. 2013	
5403050	TULARE COUNTY RECYCLING	JunDec. 2012	
5402027	TULARE COUNTY ROAD YARD #2	JunDec. 2012	
5400820	USFS-BLACKROCK STATION	JunDec. 2012	
5403020	USFS-HORSE MEADOW CAMP	JunDec. 2012	
5403034	USFS-JERKEY MEADOW TRAILHEAD	JunDec. 2012	
5400827	USFS-KENNEDY CAMPGROUND	JunDec. 2012	
5400783	USFS-LOWER PEPPERMINT	JunDec. 2012	
5400957	WEST GOSHEN MUT WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5400718	WILLIAMS MUTUAL WATER CO	JunDec. 2012	
5403043	YETTEM WATER	JanJul. 2013	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 17 of 63

THE LPA IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX C REQUIREMENTS:

 \square YES \square NO

The LPA was deficient with CCR Title 22, Section 64255 because the LPA did not conduct the water system inspections that were identified in their work plan. In addition, they did not adequately review the eight elements of a sanitary survey. Follow-up on past due water quality monitoring or required reports and plans was not consistent.

In FY 2012-2013, two water system files were reviewed (East Orosi and Citrus South Tule For one system file reviewed, the inspection report showed the system was delinquent in all source water quality sampling (actually 6 years past due - 2 monitoring cycles), lead and copper monitoring 2 years past due and inadequate follow-up on a lead sample that exceeded the action level (AL). Both the Emergency Notification Plan (ENP) and Bacteriological Sample Siting Plan (BSSP) were over 10 years old. By regulations, a BSSP is required to be updated as needed or a minimum of once every 10 years. The ENP is required to be updated annually as required; however, a new required format for State Office of Emergency Services and CDPH contact numbers required were obsolete (over 5 years old) or were not provided. The Consumer Confidence Report provided to the customers did not include the required updated format and several required tables of information and data were not provided. The system exceeded the Total Coliform Rule (TCR) MCL for several months of the year and the public notification provided to the customers was for a TCR monitoring and reporting violation instead of a TCR MCL violation. No proof of public notification was provided in the system file. However, this system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, but no follow-up for the system's deficiencies was completed. East Orosi's system file was reviewed again during this evaluation and the same deficiencies were noted although the system was inspected in July 2012 before the FY 2011-2012 evaluation.

During the FY 2012-2013 evaluation it was noted that the LPA started to use a new inspection report with a checklist format. The intent of the new template is to streamline the

inspection report so that more inspections could be completed efficiently. The Department reviewed and approved the new template for inspections as long as a complete list of water quality requirements, sampling frequency, last sample result and next due report is attached to the inspection form. In addition, any past due monitoring must be identified with a date required for collecting the past due monitoring. The LPA needs to follow-up with any past due monitoring identified that was not collected by the date required in the inspection report.

The new inspection checklist format is not approved to be used for a technical report that accompanies a water supply permit. The technical report format should include a detailed description of the 8 elements of a sanitary survey, CEQA clearance and mandatory TMF elements.

While CDPH understands the need for a new checklist inspection format, we want to stress the importance of reviewing all the information provided in the previous inspection when the next inspection is conducted. The intent of the inspection is not just to *inspect* the system but also review all the water quality data collected (or missing) and required reports and to determine compliance. Any past due water quality monitoring or operational requirements should be clearly identified and a deadline for submittal provided to the system. If the LPA does not follow-up on the missed water quality monitoring the system will continue to ignore and a monitoring and reporting violation continues. Monitoring and reporting violations for public water systems that have chemical monitoring requires a lot of oversight, tracking and if necessary enforcement to ensure compliance. This lack of follow-up on monitoring and reporting violations is a significant public health concern.

Appendix D: Water Quality Monitoring Activities - CCR Title 22, Section 64256

Total Coliform Rule Monitoring

Those water systems identified during this evaluation that failed to monitor and report for the Total Coliform Rule in accordance with CCR Title 22, Section 64256 are listed below in Table 12, including any enforcement action issued by the LPA to the water system informing them of the violation(s). To effectively manage monitoring and reporting requirements, the LPA tracks the water system monitoring and reporting deficiencies by utilizing the Access database provided by CDPH and takes the appropriate corrective action by issuing the proper enforcement actions.

There are two types of Enforcement Actions – state actions (IA) or Citations/Compliance Orders (FL). The more formal enforcement action is a Citation or Compliance Order which puts the system on a path to compliance. Citations are for a non-continuing violation and Compliance Orders are for a continuing violation. A less formal state action or enforcement action is typically a Notice of Violation (NOV) or enforcement letter (EL) which cites a violation occurred, usually for a monitoring and reporting violation.

The LPA issues a Notice of Violation (NOV) for Total Coliform Rule monitoring and reporting violations. During the FY 2011-2012 evaluation, CDPH discussed the use of the Access database for TCR tracking for MCL violations and monitoring and reporting violations. The LPA had the program but was not familiar with running the various monthly reports. CDPH provided staff assistance with the Access database. The LPA indicated they were going to use the Access database (provided and used by the Visalia District) during the FY 2012-2013 for TCR tracking. The LPA had been using the database but not using the reports for the enforcement tracking. The Department has provided additional technical assistance since the evaluation to insure the LPA understands how in enter the data and run reports for both monitoring and reporting violations and MCL violations. As the LPA uses this database the bacteriological tracking and enforcement workload should improve.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 20 of 63

Table 12: Total Coliform Rule Monitoring and Reporting Violations

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Classification ¹	Monitoring Period	Enforcement Action Type ²	Enforcement Action Issue Date
5400708	ALPINE VILLAGE WATER CO.	N	4 th QTR 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5400985	BOB S TINY MART	N	1 st QTR 2013	IA	04/10/2013
5400985	BOB S TINY MART	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5401049	CAMP WILDERNESS	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5403027	CDF-SHAKE CAMPGROUND	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5400655	CENTRAL MUTUAL WATER CO	С	JULY 2012	IA	08/10/2012
5400602	EAGLE S NEST RESORT	N	4 th QTR 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	С	MARCH 2013	IA	04/10/2013
5403143	ELDERWOOD MARKET	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5403130	ELEANOR ROOSEVELT COMM LEARNING CTR	Р	AUGUST 2012	IA	09/10/2012
5403051	FRIENDS RV PARK	N	NOVEMBER 2012	IA	12/10/2012
5401080	LAKE EXPRESS MARKET	N	4 th QTR 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5402025	LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	N	1 st QTR 2013	IA	04/10/2013
5402025	LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	N	4 th QTR 2012	FO	01/10/2013
5402025	LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5400598	MCNALLY S FAIRVIEW LODGE	N	4 th QTR 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5403120	PANADERIA LA CABANA	N	NOVEMBER 2012	IA	12/10/2012
5400509	PANORAMA HEIGHTS PROP OWNERS	N	DECEMBER 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5400934	PONDEROSA CSD	С	NOVEMBER 2012	IA	12/10/2012

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Classification ¹	Monitoring Period	Enforcement Action Type ²	Enforcement Action Issue Date
5402036	R-RANCH IN THE SEQUOIAS	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5400550	SEVILLE WATER	С	DECEMBER 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5400550	SEVILLE WATER CO	С	SEPTEMBER 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5400737	ST ANTHONY S RETREAT	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5400984	SUCCESS MARKET	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5403009	SUPER STOP	N	4 th QTR 2012	IA	01/10/2013
5401039	TULARE COUNTY CIVIC CENTER	Р	OCTOBER 2012	IA	11/10/2012
5401010	USFS-CAMP WHITSETT	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012
5403145	WAWONA PACKING COMPANY LLC	Р	AUGUST 2012	IA	09/10/2012
5400907	WHITE HORSE INN	N	3 rd QTR 2012	IA	10/10/2012

Chemical/Radiological Monitoring

The LPA notifies water systems of the monitoring and reporting requirements by providing a water quality monitoring schedule to the water system operator annually or by including the schedule with other correspondence to the water system during the year. However, there is no follow-up by LPA staff with past due monitoring for water systems. Chemical data submitted by water systems are received and reviewed by the program specialist to ensure compliance with the chemical constituents' monitoring and reporting requirements and to advise the water system of any follow-up sampling requirements. Hard copies of all chemical monitoring results are maintained in the water system file.

All transient noncommunity systems have conducted required analyses at least once when the water sources were initially placed into service. However, this sampling data may have been collected before the Department's electronic data transfer (EDT) was operational.

¹⁻C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community 2-IA=Notice of Violation(s) or FL=Citation or Compliance Order FO=Citation with Penalty

Therefore, this data is only available as a hard copy and is not in the CDPH Water Quality Inquiry (WQI) database which shows the system delinquent for water quality monitoring. While this missing electronic water quality data may not seem important, this data is merged with the required monitoring schedule and available on CDPH's website, which is available to the water system, water system operator and the public. The system will appear to be out of compliance for sampling until a new sample is collected or the old data is transferred electronically. The LPA should work with the transient noncommunity water systems to get this one time data into the database or have them collect another water quality sample for the required constituents so the data is transferred electronically and update to date information is also available.

Table 13 summarizes the monitoring and reporting violations of small PWSs for general minerals (GM), inorganic chemicals (IOC), organic chemicals (OC), radiological constituents (Rad), lead and copper (LCR), and disinfection byproducts (DBP). Based on a review of this data, it appears there were no LCR, DBP or Rad monitoring and reporting violations (M&R) violations for the 304 public water systems the LPA regulates. Based on a query of the CDPH water quality database or Radiological monitoring only, this appears to be inaccurate. It appears the LPA is not reviewing the LCR, DBP or Radiological monitoring completely or correctly as the violations are not identified or entered into the database. The LPA needs to review the LCR, DBP and Radiological monitoring annually and issue enforcement to public water systems with M&R violations.

During the sanitary survey evaluation all water quality monitoring should be reviewed. Any past due monitoring should be clearly identified and a deadline provided for submittal. CDPH recommends that the LPA start using the CDPH WQI database for the compliance tracking for chemical monitoring instead of using the current spreadsheet with data entered in manually by the LPA. The LPA now has access to WQI through a remote access and the PWSs have access to the most recent water quality sampling data and sampling frequencies on the CDPH website for Water Quality Monitoring Schedules.

The Department's website is updated quarterly with the County's monitoring schedule and last sample collected for each public water system. This schedule clearly identifies the last sample result, sampling frequencies and next sample due date for each constituent. This is now available for the public water system's governing board, the water system's operator and the general public. The LPA should request the water quality monitoring reports for the LPA systems from the CDPH Visalia District Office quarterly. A copy of the monitoring reports should be provided to the LPA system annually.

Certified Treatment and Distribution System Operators

CHSC Section 106885 states that any person who operates or supervises the operation of a water treatment plant or a distribution system of a community or nontransient noncommunity water system shall possess a valid and current water treatment and/or distribution operator certificate of the appropriate grade in accordance with the regulations referenced in CHSC Section 106910.

The determination of the level of operator certification required for a public water system is defined in CCR Title 22, Sections 63765 for water treatment and 63770 for distribution systems. The LPA has classified all water systems for the appropriate level of water treatment and distribution system operator certification. Each water system has been advised of its system classification for certified treatment or distribution system operator certification. Table 13 summarizes water systems that are not operating with an operator or with the appropriate level certified operator. There were 4 NOVs issued to public water systems for not having a certified treatment or distribution system operator.

Consumer Confidence Reporting

CCR Title 22, Section 64480(a) requires each community and nontransient noncommunity public water to issue an annual Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) to customers. All water systems use the standardized reporting format for small public water systems CCRs. The water system is required to distribute the CCR to its customers and provide a copy to the

County. In addition, the water system is to provide certification that the report has been distributed to its customers and that the information is correct and consistent with the compliance monitoring data submitted to the LPA. Table 13 lists water systems in violation of the CCR requirements for FY 2012-2013. According to the data provided to CDPH, there were no CCR violations in FY 2012-2013 which means all of the CWS and NTNC water systems provided a 2011 CCR to their customers by July 1, 2012 with a copy and certification of delivery to the LPA by October 1, 2012.

During the review of the system files, it was noted that some <u>required</u> tables were missing in the CCR and an old template was used by the systems. An updated template is developed by CDPH annually to include all required tables or language. A small water system template is also available with very clear directions of what information needs to be provided. By February 1st of each year, the LPA should provide the small water system CCR template to their public water systems to help ensure the required information is provided to the customers.

Table 13: Chemical/Radiological Monitoring and Reporting Violations, CCR, and Certified Operators

Svetem Name	a	, SW4	וכ) - -	VO SO	Ra	LO	DE	CC	Certi Oper	Enforceme nt Action	Enforceme	Commente
9)	Classification ¹	NO ₃	Other IOC	Cs		R	3P			Type ²	Issue Date	
ALI WATER CO	Ö	Z									IA	01/10/2013	2012
ALLENSWORTH C S D	I C S	O		\boxtimes							₹	04/10/2013	1 st QTR 2013
CAMP SAN JOAQUIN	MUN	z	\boxtimes								Ā	01/10/2013	2012
CHARLIE'S										\boxtimes	NOV		
EL MONTE VILLAGE M H P	AGE	O									Ą	10/10/2012	3 rd QTR 2012
FAR HORIZON CAMP	AMP	Z	\boxtimes								Ą	01/10/2013	2012
FOUNTAIN SPRINGS EL TAPATIO	INGS (Z									Ā	10/10/2012	3 rd QTR 2012
IN AND OUT FOOD MART	OOC	Z								×	NOV		Taking March
LAKE EXPRESS MARKET	SS	Z	\boxtimes								₹	04/10/2013	1st QTR 2013
LAKE EXPRESS MARKET	SS	Z									₹	01/10/2013	4 th QTR 2012
LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	LN	Z	×								Ā	04/10/2013	1 st QTR 2013
LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	LIN	Z	\boxtimes								ΑI	01/10/2013	4 th QTR 2012
LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	LN.	Z									ΑI	10/10/2012	3 rd QTR 2012
LEMON COVE- SEQUOIA CAMP	Ĕ- MP	Z	\boxtimes								Ā	01/10/2013	4 th QTR 2012

Fiscal Year 2012-2013

DWG		JAK	201		V(F	L				Enforceme	Enforceme	
Number	System Name	Classification ¹	NO ₃	Other IOC	OC &	Rad	.CR)BP	erator CR	rtified	nt Action Type ²	nt Action Issue Date	Comments
5400628	LEMON COVE- SEQUOIA CAMP	Z	×								ΑI	10/10/2012	3 rd QTR 2012
5403001	LOWER SPRINGS	Z								\boxtimes	NOV		
5400898	MONTECITO LAKE RESORT	Z	\boxtimes							П	ΑI	01/10/2013	2012
5400918	OPEN COUNTRY SWAP MEET	Z	\boxtimes								ΑI	04/10/2013	1 st QTR 2013
5400924	SIERRA LAKES MINISTER CAMP	Z	\boxtimes							П	ΑI	01/10/2013	2012
5400805	SOULTS MWC	S								\boxtimes	NOV		
5400552	STONEY CREEK – RESTAURANT/STOR E	z									₹	01/10/2013	2012
5401004	STYROTEK	d			\boxtimes						Ā	04/10/2013	1 st QTR 2013
5401004	STYROTEK	۵	×							П	¥	10/10/2012	3" QTR 2012
5400984	SUCCESS MARKET	Z	\boxtimes							П	ΑI	01/10/2013	2012
5403009	SUPER STOP	Z			\boxtimes					П	ΑI	01/10/2013	3 ^{kD} QTR 2012
5403105	THE BARN	Z	\boxtimes							П	ΙΑ	01/10/2013	2012
5403071	THREE RIVERS CHEVORN	Z	\boxtimes								Ā	01/10/2013	2012
5400704	THREE RIVERS SCHOOL	Ф	\boxtimes								ΑI	01/10/2013	2012
5402030	WAUKENA MARKET	Z	\boxtimes							П	₹	01/10/2013	4 th QTR 2012
5402030	WAUKENA MARKET	Z	⊠								₹	10/10/2012	3 rd QTR 2012
1-C=Comm	1-C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient	nity. or N=Transient Non-	Non-community	 <u>≥</u>									

1-C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community 2-IA=Notice of Violation(s) or FL=Citation or Compliance Order FO=Citation with Penalty

Surface Water Sources

PWSs with a surface water source are required to have a multi-barrier treatment process that includes filtration and disinfection to ensure the removal and/or inactivation of disease-causing viruses, bacteria, and protozoa. The Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR), Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (IESWTR), Long Term 1 and Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (LT1-LT2ESWTR) established standards for approved filtration methods to ensure that these pathogens are reliably removed by the filtration process. The surface water systems in Tulare County were transferred to CDPH, so there are no surface water systems in the LPA's regulatory jurisdiction.

Table 14: Inventory of Surface Water Systems

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Classification	Filtration Method	In Violation of the SWTR and/or LT1IESWTR (Yes/No)	Comments
Tulare Cou	nty does not have a	ny surface water s	systems in th	neir regulatory jurisdicti	on.

^{*}C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community

THE LPA IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX D REQUIREMENTS:

☐ YES ⊠ NO

The County was deficient with CCR Title 22, Section 64256 because the County did not issue an enforcement action to systems that had a LCR, DBP and Radiological monitoring and reporting violation. As such, with monitoring and reporting violations and no samples collected the public health impacts associated with those constituents is unknown for the LPA regulated systems. This is a public health threat. In addition, the review of the annual CCR was not adequate for mandatory language and tables that are required to be provided to the

Appendix E: Reporting to CDPH - CCR Title 22, Section 64257

The need for both CDPH and LPAs to develop the capability to transmit PWS program information via electronic data transfer (EDT) is a requirement of the EPA. The information that is required to be reported includes:

- Monthly: A list of all small PWSs that failed in the previous month to comply with drinking water monitoring and reporting regulations. This includes primary drinking water standard violations as well as monitoring and reporting violations. Information provided should include the system name and number, the type of violation, and the type of enforcement action taken if any.
- Quarterly: A list of permits that have been issued, amended, or renewed as well as a list
 of small PWSs for which a routine inspection or sanitary survey was conducted.
- Annually: The inventory of small PWSs under the jurisdiction of the LPA.

CDPH has requested that these reports be submitted monthly by the LPA. CDPH reviews these files as they are received from the LPA and advises the LPA of any reporting deficiencies associated with transmitting the files. The LPA is expected to review these error reports and correct any data formatting errors accordingly and then resubmit the data along with the data for the following reporting period. The LPA uses Decade EnvisionConnect to comply with the EDT reporting requirements. The LPA submitted the required data in a timely manner for FY 2012-2013.

THE LPA IS IN COMPLIANC	E WITH APPEND	IX E REQUIREMENTS:
	⊠ YES	NO

Appendix F: Enforcement Activities - CCR Title 22, Section 64258

The enforcement activities conducted by the LPA are those specified in the primacy agreement and include conducting site visits to the systems to assist in determining the cause of bacteriological contamination, directing corrective actions, requiring notification of the consumer as required, requiring that the water system issue boil water orders or provide bottled water as necessary until water quality deficiencies have been corrected, and issuing addressing enforcement actions. Addressing enforcement actions include the issuance of compliance orders, citations (with or without fines), administrative hearings, or other actions that meet the definition as outlined in the USEPA Enforcement Response Policy dated December 8, 2009.

Total Coliform Rule MCL Violations and Enforcement

When a small public water system has been determined to have failed the Total Coliform Rule (TCR) MCL, LPA staff may conduct a site inspection of the water system when the cause of the contamination cannot be easily determined by the system operator. If LPA staff is able to determine the cause of the problem, then guidance is provided to the system operator regarding corrective mitigation measures, follow-up monitoring, and public notification requirements. The system then is responsible for implementation of the mitigation measures. Where repeat samples are required, the water system collects 5 routine samples the following month in accordance with requirements of the TCR. However, for the last few years there have been some public water systems with multiple TCR MCL violations in a year so the corrective measures were either not initiated or consistently implemented.

The LPA then issues a Citation to notify the water system that they failed the TCR MCL for the month(s) specified which includes various directives for the water system to comply with a specified compliance schedule. These directives may include, but not limited to public notification, repeat sampling requirements, and/or increased monitoring requirements. Listed in Table 15 are the water systems that violated the TCR MCL in FY 2012-2013.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 30 of 63

The LPA shall correct TCR MCL violations that have a quarterly date range (as highlighted in Table 15) and update the record in their database of record with the correct begin and end date (monthly compliance period) that the water system violated the TCR MCL.

During the FY 2011-2012 evaluation, it was noticed that the LPA was issuing a NOV for TCR MCL violations which is not the correct enforcement action for a MCL violation. As such, all the TCR MCL violations in Table 15 below should all be Citations with addressing actions. In addition, if any system has an additional TCR MCL violation the system should be issued a Compliance Order as the violation is continuing. The County was informed during the evaluation FY 2011-2012 that they need to issue Citations for TCR MCL violations during FY 2012-2013. CDPH has been provided with some Citations that were issued in January 2013 when the LPA started to use Citations correctly for a MCL violation. The LPA needs to continue issuing Citations for TCR MCL violation or a Compliance Order for system with repeat or multiple TCR MCL violations during a 12 month period.

Chemical and Radiological Violations and Enforcement

Chemical data submitted by the water systems is reviewed by the program specialist to ensure compliance with the MCL requirements. If necessary, the LPA advises the water system of any follow-up sampling requirements. When a system collects a sample for nitrate that is over the MCL, the system is required to collect a confirmation sample and average the two results. If the average of the two samples is above the MCL or the confirmation sample is never collected, then the LPA needs to issue a Compliance Order to the system which requires ongoing monitoring, Tier 1 public notification, proof of public notification and requirement to develop a plan to correct the violation and return to compliance.

According to Title 22, Section 64432.1, the nitrate MCL is exceeded with a single sample or average of 2 samples if the repeat is collected within 24 hours. The nitrate MCL is NOT based on the 4 quarter running annual average as it is an acute contaminant.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 31 of 63

Hard copies of all chemical monitoring results are retained as hard copies in the water system file. Listed in Table 16 are the water systems in FY 2012-2013 that exceeded a chemical or radiological MCL along with the associated enforcement action issued. Systems that provide treatment for a primary MCL are listed in Appendix K.

Based on a review of this data, it appears there was only one DBP or Rad monitoring MCL violation for the 304 public water systems the LPA regulates. Based on a query of the CDPH water quality database or Radiological monitoring only, this appears to be inaccurate. It appears the LPA is not reviewing the LCR, DBP or Radiological monitoring completely or correctly as the violations are not identified or entered into the database. The LPA needs to review the DBP and Radiological monitoring annually and issue appropriate enforcement actions to public water systems with M&R violations.

Page 33 of 63

Table 15: Total Coliform Rule MCL Violations and Enforcement

	Comments												
22	ETT3												
Enforcement	Action Issue Date	02/10/2013	10/10/2012	12/10/2012	01/10/2013	10/10/2012	12/10/2012	10/10/2012	02/10/2013	11/10/2012	10/10/2012	10/10/2012	10/10/2012
	Enforcement Action Type ²	Ю	Ι	FO	Ι	A	FO	FO	A	Ι	Ā	ĕ	ЬО
Results	E. colí +												
Res	TC+	×	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
MCL	Reporting Period	JANUARY 2013	3 rd QTR 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	DECEMBER 2012	3rd QTR 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	3rd QTR 2012	JANUARY 2013	OCTOBER 2012	3 rd QTR 2012	3 rd QTR 2012	3 rd QTR 2012
Great C	PWS Classification ¹	Z	Z	Z	O	z	Z	z	۵.	Z	Z	Z	Z
	System Name	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	AKIN WATER CO	ALI WATER CO	ALI WATER CO	BARTLETT PARK	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL	CEDAR SLOPE WATER CO	CLM-QUAKING ASPEN CAMPGROUND	CLM-REDWOOD MEADOW CAMPGROUND	COMFORT INN & SUITES
9	PWS Number	5400810	5400810	5400810	5401038	5403144	5403144	5400922	5400919	5400921	5400766	5400942	5403062

	Comments														And defined to severe programme are set of the definition of the severe commence and the severe commence are severe definition of the severe commence and the severe commence	
•	Ë]]							
Enforcement	Action Issue Date	10/10/2012			10/10/2012	10/10/2012	10/10/2012	11/10/2012		08/10/2012	10/10/2012	02/10/2013	03/10/2013	11/10/2012	01/10/2013	10/10/2012
Foforcement	Action Type ²	M Al			FO	FO	FO	FO		⊻	FO	Ы	9	ΑI	A	ΙΑ
Results	E. coli +]							
SeS	TC+		[☑	\boxtimes	\boxtimes]	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	×	×	×	\boxtimes	
MCL	Reporting Period	SEPTEMBER	2012		3 rd QTR 2012	3 rd QTR 2012	SEPTEMBER 2012	OCTOBER	2012	JULY 2012	SEPTEMBER 2012	JANUARY 2013	FEBRUARY 2013	OCTOBER 2012	4th QTR 2012	3 rd QTR 2012
S/MS	Classification ¹	L			z	z	O	O		۵.	Ф	Д	۵	a	z	Z
	System Name	ELEANOR	ROOSEVELT	COMM LEARNING CTR	GIUMARRA VINEYARDS 3 & 4	GIUMARRA VINEYARDS 5 & 6	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	GLEANINGS FOR	THE HUNGRY	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS	HELLWIG PRODUCTS CO INC	HELLWIG PRODUCTS CO INC	JODY FRESH COOLING CO - PWS	LINDYS LANDING	MOONEY GROVE PARK
PWS	Number	5403130			5400762	5400763	5402047	5402047		5401076	5401076	5403141	5403141	5403083	5400537	5400951

Comments															
					\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes								
Enforcement Action Issue	Date	11/10/2012	12/10/2012	01/10/2013	10/10/2012	12/10/2012	10/10/2012	02/10/2013	02/10/2013	04/10/2013	11/10/2012	12/10/2012	01/10/2013	02/10/2013	03/10/2013
Enforcement	Action lype-	ΑI	Ю	₹	F0	9	₹	₹	FO	FO	₹	Ю	Ъ	₹	РО
Results	E. coli +														
Res	± ည	\boxtimes		×	×	\boxtimes		×		\boxtimes	\boxtimes				
MCL Reporting	Period	OCTOBER 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	DECEMBER 2012	3rd QTR 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	3 rd QTR 2012	JANUARY 2013	JANUARY 2013	FEBRUARY 2013	OCTOBER 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	DECEMBER 2012	JANUARY 2013	FEBRUARY 2013
PWS	Classification	a .	<u>a</u>	<u>a</u>	Z	Z	z	Ф.	ပ	ပ	O	O	O	z	Z
System Name		MOORE WALLACE - RR DONNELLEY	MOORE WALLACE - RR DONNELLEY	NEW LEAF COLD STORAGE	NS MINI MART	NS MINI MART	OPEN COUNTRY SWAP MEET	PALO VERDE SCHOOL	PATTERSON TRACT CSD	PIXLEY PARK	PIXLEY PARK				
PWS	Language Manager	5401040	5401040	5403102	5403053	5403053	5400918	5400519	5402038	5402038	5402038	5402038	5402038	5400774	5400774

Comments															
F113															
Enforcement Action Issue		10/10/2012	10/10/2012	10/10/2012	11/10/2012	12/10/2012	12/10/2012	12/10/2012	09/10/2012	10/10/2012	03/10/2013	03/10/2013	10/10/2012	01/10/2013	10/10/2012
Enforcemenț	Action Type ²	ΙΑ	FO	Ν	O	Ю	FO	₹	9	Ю	FO	FO	9	FO	Ь
Results	E. Coli														
Res	1C+	Ø	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	×	×	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	×		×
MCL	Period	3 rd QTR 2012	3 rd QTR 2012	SEPTEMBER 2012	OCTOBER 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	NOVEMBER 2012	AUGUST 2012	SEPTEMBER 2012	FEBRUARY 2013	FEBRUARY 2013	3 rd QTR 2012	4th QTR 2012	SEPTEMBER 2012
PWS	Classification -	Z	Z	ပ	ပ	O	<u>a</u>	Ф	ပ	ပ	z	z	Z	z	O
Svstem Name		PIXLEY PARK	PREET MARKET	RIVER RETREAT MUTUAL	RIVER RETREAT MUTUAL	RIVER RETREAT MUTUAL	SEQUOIA UNION SCHOOL	SIERRA VIEW JR ACADEMY	SO KAWEAH MUTUAL WATER CO	SO KAWEAH MUTUAL WATER CO	SUHOVY WATER SYSTEM	THREE RIVERS HIDEAWAY	THREE RIVERS HIDEAWAY	THREE RIVERS HIDEAWAY	TOOLEVILLE WATER COMPANY
PWS	Number	5400774	5402031	5400556	5400556	5400556	5400709	5400711	5400754	5400754	5403040	5400751	5400751	5400751	5400567

System Name	PWS	MCL Reporting	Results	Its	Enforcement	Enforcement Action Issue	ETT3	Comments
	Classification	Period	†JC+	E. coli	Action Lype	Date		
TRAVER VALLEY FARMS - PWS	z	OCTOBER 2012			<u>A</u>	12/10/2012		
USA PETRO SUPER STOP	z	4th QTR 2012	\boxtimes		Ā	01/10/2013		
5403046 VISALIA CITRUS PACKING-	<u>a</u>	SEPTEMBER 2012	×		¥	10/10/2012		
OKANGE COVE VISALIA CITRUS	۵	OCTOBER			FO	11/10/2012		
PACKING- ORANGE COVE		2012	×					

1-C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community
2-IA=Notice of Violation(s) or FL=Citation or Compliance Order or FO=Citation with Penalty
3-Under the EPA's Enforcement Response Policy and Enforcement Targeting Tool (ERP-ETT), water systems' violations are evaluated using a weighed points system. Each violation is assigned a number of points based on the assigned threat to public health, where priority is given to acute health based violations are worth 5 points, and other monitoring and reporting and any other violations and Total Coliform Rule repeat monitoring violations are worth 5 points, intrate monitoring and reporting and any other violations are worth 1 point.) Systems that are checked above have greater than or equal to 11 points, are designated as "priority systems", and have appeared on the ETT during the fiscal year.

Table 16: Chemical & Radiological MCL Violations and Enforcement

PWS	System Name	PWS	Contaminant	MCL	MCL	Enforcement	Enforcement		Comments
Number		Class ¹		Result		Action Type ²	Action Issue Date	ETT3	
5400810	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	Z	Nitrate	72.00	45 mg/L	1	07/28/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400810	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	z	Nitrate	72.00	45 mg/L	1	07/28/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5400810	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	z	Nitrate	71.00	45 mg/L	 LL_	07/28/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400544	ALLENSWORTH C S D	ပ	Arsenic	.0110	0.010 mg/L		12/21/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5400544	ALLENSWORTH C S D	O	Arsenic	.0110	0.010 mg/L	1	12/21/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400544	ALLENSWORTH C S D	ပ	Arsenic	.0120	0.010 mg/L	<u>.</u>	12/21/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403022	APTCO LLC	۵.	Nitrate	80.00	45 mg/L	<u>_</u>	03/23/2011		1st QTR 2013
5400651	BEVERLY GRAND MUTUAL WATER	U	Nitrate	78.00	45 mg/L	-	05/24/2011		1st QTR 2013
5400651	BEVERLY GRAND MUTUAL WATER	ပ	Nitrate	78.00	45 mg/L	<u></u>	05/24/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400651	BEVERLY GRAND MUTUAL WATER	ပ	Nitrate	78.00	45 mg/L	<u> </u>	05/24/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400919	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL	ட	Nitrate	83.00	45 mg/L	7	07/28/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400919	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL	۵	Nitrate	81.10	45 mg/L	<u>_</u>	07/28/2010		4th QTR 2012
5401053	CHARLIES	z	Nitrate	54.00	45 mg/L	1	09/14/2010	\boxtimes	1st QTR 2013
5401053	CHARLIE S	z	Nitrate	80.00	45 mg/L	1	09/14/2010	\boxtimes	3rd QTR 2012
5401053	CHARLIE S	z	Nitrate	79.00	45 mg/L	급	09/14/2010	×	4th QTR 2012
5400555	CITRUS SOUTH TULE SCHOOL	Д.	Nitrate	140.0	45 mg/L	교	09/14/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400555	CITRUS SOUTH TULE SCHOOL	ட	Nitrate	140.0	45 mg/L	14	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403030	DRY CREEK DELI	Z	Nitrate	80.00	45 mg/L	<u>-</u>	10/01/2007		1st QTR 2013
5403030	DRY CREEK DELI	Z	Nitrate	79.00	45 mg/L	교	10/01/2007		4th QTR 2012
5403007	E M THARP INC	z	Nitrate	49.20	45 mg/L	Ţ	10/03/2012		3rd QTR 2012
5403007	E M THARP INC	Z	Nitrate	49.20	45 mg/L	FL	06/06/2007		3rd QTR 2012
Revised 8/12/2013	2/2013								Page 38 of 63

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Class ¹	Contaminant	MCL Result	MCL	Enforcement Action Type ²	Enforcement Action Issue Date	ЕТТ3	Comments
5402043	MONSON MARKET	z	Nitrate	74.40	45 mg/L	긥	07/28/2010		1st QTR 2013
5402043		Z	Nitrate	68.20	45 mg/L	74	07/28/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5402043	MONSON MARKET	Z	Nitrate	80.50	45 mg/L	7	07/28/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403128	MOZZARELLA FRESCA	Д	Nitrate	48.00	45 mg/L]	3/21/2013		2012 Annual
5400713	OAK VALLEY SCHOOL	ட	Arsenic	.0170	0.010 mg/L	14	08/22/2011		1st QTR 2013
5400713	OAK VALLEY SCHOOL	<u>a</u>	Arsenic	.0180	0.010 mg/L	1	08/22/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400713	OAK VALLEY SCHOOL	<u>Ф</u>	Arsenic	.0180	0.010 mg/L	1	08/22/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400918	OPEN COUNTRY SWAP MEET	z	Nitrate	89.00	45 mg/L	14	01/09/2012	⊠	3rd QTR 2012
5400918	OPEN COUNTRY SWAP MEET	z	Nitrate	90.00	45 mg/L	<u></u>	01/09/2012		4th QTR 2012
5400541	PARAMOUNT CITRUS	۵	Nitrate	92.00	45 mg/L	<u> </u>	09/14/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400541	PARAMOUNT CITRUS	۵	Nitrate	92.00	45 mg/L	1	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5402056		Z	Nitrate	100.0	45	1	07/28/2010		1st QTR 2013
5402056		Z	Nitrate	100.0	45 mg/L	급	07/28/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5402056	PEOPLES GROCERY	z	Nitrate	85.00	45 mg/L	Ţ	07/28/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403054	PFFJ, LLC	Д	Arsenic	.0650	0.010 mg/L]	05/24/2011		1st QTR 2013
5403054	PFFJ, LLC	Ы	Arsenic	0990.	0.010 mg/L	1	05/24/2011		4th QTR 2012
5403054	PFFJ, LLC	Ф	Arsenic	.0770	0.010 mg/L	료	05/24/2011		4th QTR 2012
5403013		Z	Nitrate	0000	45 mg/L	己	04/27/2010		1st QTR 2013
5403013		Z	Nitrate	132.0	45 mg/L	I	04/27/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5403013	PRINCE MART	Z	Nitrate	132.0	45 mg/L	Ŧ	04/27/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400735	RODRIGUEZ LABOR CAMP	၁	Nitrate	150.0	45 mg/L	F	04/27/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400735		၁	Nitrate	150.0	45 mg/L	H	04/27/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5400735		ပ	Nitrate	150.0	45 mg/L	긥	04/27/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400558	SCHOOL	C	Nitrate	00.09	45 mg/L	긥	09/14/2010	\boxtimes	1st QTR 2013

Number	Oystell Naile	SMA	Contaminant	_ ⊠CL	MCL	Enforcement	Enforcement		Comments
		Class¹		Result		Action Type ²	Action Issue Date	ETT3	
5400558	SAUCELITO ELEM SCHOOL	ட	DBCP	.2300	0.0002 mg/L	<u></u>	09/14/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400558	SAUCELITO ELEM SCHOOL	С	Nitrate	00.09	45 mg/L	<u>.</u>	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400558	SAUCELITO ELEM SCHOOL	ட	DBCP	.2500	0.0002 mg/L	己	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400709	SEQUOIA UNION SCHOOL	۵	Nitrate	54.50	45 mg/L	Z	09/14/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400709	SEQUOIA UNION SCHOOL	۵.	Nitrate	54.50	45 mg/L	Z	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400709	SEQUOIA UNION SCHOOL	Ф	Nitrate	53.30	45 mg/L	<u> </u>	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403110	SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	120.0	45 mg/L	<u></u>	09/14/2010		1st QTR 2013
5403110	SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	120.0	45 mg/L	<u>_</u>	09/14/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5403110	SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	U	Nitrate	100.0	45 mg/L	근	09/14/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5403110	SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	82.00	45 mg/L	<u></u>	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403110	SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	100.0	45 mg/L	<u></u>	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400711	SIERRA VIEW JR ACADEMY	ட	Nitrate	47.00	45 mg/L	급	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400754	SO KAWEAH MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Arsenic	.0110	0.010 mg/L	<u></u>	04/23/2012		1st QTR 2013
5400754	SO KAWEAH MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Arsenic	.0110	0.010 mg/L	1	04/23/2012		4th QTR 2012
5400805	SOULTS MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	70.20	45 mg/L]	09/28/2010	\boxtimes	1st QTR 2013
5400805	SOULTS MUTUAL WATER	U	Nitrate	70.20	45 mg/L	<u></u>	07/28/2010	\boxtimes	3rd QTR 2012
5400805	SOULTS MUTUAL WATER	၁	Nitrate	70.20	45 mg/L	1	07/28/2010	\boxtimes	4th QTR 2012
5402013	SUN PACIFIC SHIPPERS LP - EXETER	ட	Nitrate	63.00	45 mg/L	1	01/09/2012		1st QTR 2013
5402013	SUN PACIFIC SHIPPERS LP - EXETER	۵	Nitrate	63.00	45 mg/L	FL	01/09/2012		4th QTR 2012

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Class⁴	Contaminant	MCL Result	MCL	Enforcement Action Type ²	Enforcement Action Issue Date	ETT3	Comments
5401063	THARP REAL PROPERTIES	Z	Nitrate	87.70	45 mg/L	급	09/13/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	00.09	45 mg/L	급	10/25/2011		1st QTR 2013
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	U	Nitrate	84.00	45 mg/L	J	10/25/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	64.00	45 mg/L	급	10/25/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	55.00	45 mg/L		10/25/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	48.00	45 mg/L		10/25/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	50.00	45 mg/L	<u>.</u>	10/25/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	O	Nitrate	51.00	45 mg/L	료	10/25/2011		3rd QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	84.00	45 mg/L		10/25/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	၁	Nitrate	64.00	45 mg/L	<u>.</u>	10/25/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	62.00	45 mg/L	료	10/25/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	၁	Nitrate	51.00	45 mg/L	<u>-</u>	10/25/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	၁	Nitrate	53.00	45 mg/L		10/25/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	ပ	Nitrate	51.00	45 mg/L		10/25/2011		4th QTR 2012
5400507	VISALIA - FRESNO SOUTH KOA	z	Nitrate	92.50	45 mg/L	긒	09/14/2010	\boxtimes	1st QTR 2013
5400507	VISALIA - FRESNO SOUTH KOA	Z	Nitrate	92.50	45 mg/L	<u>.</u>	09/14/2010	⊠	3rd QTR 2012
5400507	VISALIA - FRESNO SOUTH KOA	z	Nitrate	65.00	45 mg/L	1	09/14/2010	\boxtimes	4th QTR 2012
5403010	VISALIA CITRUS PACKERS-WOODLAKE	Д.	Nitrate	55.00	45 mg/L	-	09/14/2010		1st QTR 2013

PWS	System Name	PWS	Contaminant	MCL	MCL	Enforcement	Enforcement		Comments
Number		Class ¹		Result		Action Type ²	Action Issue Date	ETT3	
5403010	VISALIA CITRUS	Ф	Nitrate	25.00	45 mg/L	H	09/14/2010		4th QTR 2012
5403046		۵	Nitrate	130.0	45 mg/L	I	07/28/2010		1st QTR 2013
5403046	5403046 VISALIA CITRUS PACKING-ORANGE COVE	۵	Nitrate	130.0	45 mg/L	급	07/28/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400795	5400795 WAUKENA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	۵	Uranium	40.00	20 piC/L	급	10/21/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400795	5400795 WAUKENA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	۵	Nitrate	74.00	45 mg/L	1	10/21/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400795	5400795 WAUKENA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	۵	Uranium	42.00	20 piC/L	己	10/21/2010		3rd QTR 2012
5400795	5400795 WAUKENA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	۵	Nitrate	74.00	45 mg/L	긥	10/21/2010		4th QTR 2012
5400795	WAUKENA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	۵	Uranium	41.00	20 piC/L	ď	10/21/2010		4th QTR 2012
5402030	WAUKENA MARKET	z	Nitrate	95.00	45 mg/L	日	07/28/2010		1st QTR 2013
5400957	WEST GOSHEN MUTAL	O	Nitrate	51.00	45 mg/L	FL	10/2/2012		2012 Annual
							The second secon		

1-C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community and Part Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community and Enforcement Targeting Tool (ERP-ETT), water systems' violations are evaluated using a weighed points system. Each violation is assigned a number of points based on the assigned threat to public health, where priority is given to acute health based violations. (Acute health-based violations are worth 10 points, other health-based violations are worth 5 points, and other monitoring and reporting and any other violations are worth 5 points, and have appeared on the ETT during the fiscal year. violations are worth 1 point.) Systems that are checked above have greater than or equal to 11 points, are designated as "priority systems", and have appeared on the ETT during the fiscal year.

THE LPA IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX F REQUIREMENTS:

☐ YES ⊠ NO

The LPA was deficient with CCR Title 22, Section 64258 because they did not issue the Citations for TCR MCL violations or continuous TCR MCL violations until half way through the year. During the first six months, the LPA issued a NOV for a TCR MCL violation, after the evaluation, the County started issuing a Citation to any system with a TCR MCL violation. In addition, after two or more TCR MCL violations the system should be issued a Compliance Order to evaluate the problem and to find a solution to the bacteriological problem. The LPA did not issue enforcement actions for DBP or Radiological MCL violations. It appears from a print out from WQI that there were some Radiological MCL violations that were not identified or Compliance Orders issued.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 44 of 63

Appendix G: Program Management/Work Plans - CCR Title 22, Section 64259 though 64260

The LPA is under the Tulare County Health and Human Services Agency and is responsible for the management of the County's small public water system regulatory program. The SWSP is administered by the Interim Director of Environmental Health, Mr. Jason Britt; Ms. Nilsa Gonzalez, Environmental Health Supervisor; Mr. Miguel Herrera, REHS II; and Mr. Paul Charpentier, Staff Services Analyst II. The County's SWSP is funded by water system annual operating permit and enforcement fees. Table 17 lists the various fees that Tulare County Environmental Health charges to the PWSs. The current program has 2.5 full time PYs in the water program for oversight of the 304 public water systems.

Table 17: Tulare County SWSP Fees for FY 2012-2013

Fee Description	Current Fee
Water System annual fee – CWS 15-24 Service Connections	\$357
Water System annual fee - CWS 25-99 Service Connections	\$578
Water System annual fee – CWS 100-199 Service Connections	\$725
Water System annual fee – Transient Noncommunity	\$504
Water System annual fee – Nontransient Noncommunity	\$504
Water System annual fee – State Small 5-14 Service conn	\$263
Water System enforcement action – hourly	\$105
Water System - New TMF application - CWS	\$725
Water System – New TMF – Nontransient	\$436
Water System – TMF change of ownership	\$221
Well Permit – Public	\$231
Well Permit – Permit to Destroy	\$116

File Maintenance

CCR Title 22, Section 64259 states that each LPA shall establish and maintain an individual file for each small PWS which includes the following information:

- Current water supply permit and technical report.
- Permit applications, permit technical reports, and all technical reports supporting the water supply permits for a minimum of 10 years.
- Current plans and specifications pertaining to source information, treatment information, storage facilities, distribution plans, water quality plans, total coliform sample siting plans, and emergency plans.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 45 of 63

- Copies of bacteriological water quality analyses for a minimum of 5 years.
- Copies of water quality monitoring data for a minimum of 10 years.
- Correspondence, memoranda, and other written records pertaining to the water system issued or written within the past 3 years.
- Copies of all compliance orders, citations, court actions, and other enforcement documentation issued.

Tulare County maintains their files by hard-copy.

Refer to the file review form(s) and deficiencies in Appendix L.

Work Plans

CCR Title 22, Section 64260 requires LPAs to submit proposed annual work plans to CDPH no later than May 1 of each year for the fiscal year commencing July 1 of that year. The work plan outlines the proposed activities that include the following:

- Listing goals and objectives
- Maintaining water system inventory and files
- Issuing water supply permits
- Conducting inspections/sanitary surveys
- Monitoring water quality data
- Submitting various reports to CDPH
- Issuing enforcement actions
- Maintaining and submitting data to CDPH

The LPA submitted a work plan for FY 2012-2013, dated April 26, 2012. It was approved by CDPH on June 1, 2012.

THE LPA IS IN CO	<u>MPLIANCE WITH APPEN</u>	<u>IDIX G REQUIREMENTS:</u>
	⊠ YES	□ NO

Appendix H: LPA Water System Inventory

PWS Number	Water System Name	Population	Number of Service Connections
5400504	A&A MHP	60	200
5401038	AKIN WATER CO	22	50
5403144	ALI WATER CO	13	50
5400544	ALLENSWORTH C S D	110	400
5400580	ALTA VISTA M H P	10	29
5400710	BADGER HILL ESTATES	77	300
5400816	BEDEL MUTUAL WATER CO.	66	155
5400651	BEVERLY GRAND MUTUAL WATER	28	108
5400655	CENTRAL MUTUAL WATER CO	23	115
5400570	CHINOWTH STREET APARTMENTS	10	30
5401026	DEER MEADOW MUTUAL	22	75
5403023	DELFT COLONY WATER	99	400
5400542	DUCOR CSD	125	850
5401003	EAST OROSI CSD	106	700
5400744	EAST THREE RIVERS MUTUAL	14	28
10002648	EAST VANDALIA WC - WEST	13	20
5400523	EL MONTE VILLAGE M H P	49	100
5403084	FAIRWAY MUTUAL WATER CO	20	53
5400769	FOOTHILL APARTMENTS	14	40
5400759	GIUMARRA VINEYARDS 1 & 2	8	60
5402047	GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	12	31
5400600	GOLDEN KEY APARTMENTS	16	48
5400728	GRIER MUTUAL WATER CO	89	190
5400536	KINGS RIVER ESTATES	35	100
5400660	LAKE SUCCESS MOBILE LODGE	18	40
5400616	LEMON COVE WATER CO	50	200
5400631	LINNELL FARM LABOR CENTER	190	896
5400604	MOUNTAIN VIEW DUPLEXES	27	108
5400819	MOUNTAIN VIEW M H P	24	44
5402038	PATTERSON TRACT CSD	114	550
5400732	PIERPOINT SPRINGS WATER CO.	78	165
5400934	PONDEROSA CSD	116	232
5403149	RANCHO LOPEZ	14	42
5400556	RIVER RETREAT MUTUAL	21	100
5400735	RODRIGUEZ LABOR CAMP	35	110
5400701	SEQUOIA CREST WATER CO	93	70
5400550	SEVILLE WATER CO	77	400
5400529	SHADY GROVE M H P	40	137
5400527	SHILOH WATER CO.	20	75
5400940	SIERRA KING HOMEOWNERS ASSN	40	120
5403110	SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	15	39
5400964	SIERRA VISTA ASSN	13	44
5400754	SO KAWEAH MUTUAL WATER CO	105	300
5400805	SOULTS MUTUAL WATER CO	36	100
0-100000	SOUTH FORK ESTATES MUTUAL WATER		
5403113	CO	19	76

PWS Number	Water System Name	Population	Number of Service Connections
5403038	SPRINGVILLE WATER CO	5	50
5400824	SULTANA C S D	180	650
5400881	SUNRISE MUTUAL WATER CO.	39	140
5400641	TEVISTON C S D	110	450
5400880	THE LAKES	70	160
5400567	TOOLEVILLE WATER COMPANY	75	300
5400903	TRACT 92 C S D	91	500
5400553	TRAVER WATER LLC	180	500
5400670	TRIPLE R MUTUAL WATER CO	130	400
5400875	VILLAGE APARTMENTS	14	30
5400957	WEST GOSHEN MUTUAL WATER CO	100	400
5400966	WESTLAKE VILLAGE M H P	139	350
5400718	WILLIAMS MUTUAL WATER CO	50	180
5400792	WOODVILLE FARM LABOR CENTER	181	725
5403043	YETTEM WATER SYSTEM	64	350
5400647	YOKOHL MUTUAL WATER CO	32	75
	mmunity Water Systems	N. an G.	61

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 48 of 63

PWS Number	Water System Name	Population	Number of Service Connections	
5403022	APTCO LLC	6	150	
5401074	BOB WILEY DETENTION FACILITY	5	1130	
5403138	BRANDT FARMS, INC	2	100	
5400919	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL	4	130	
5403132	CALGREN RENEWABLE FUELS	5	70	
5403042	CALIFORNIA DAIRIES INC	2	100	
5400873	CHARTER ALTERNATIVES ACADEMY	8	345	
5400555	CITRUS SOUTH TULE SCHOOL	1	50	
5400886	COLUMBINE SCHOOL	2	160	
5402040	EAST UNION SCHOOL	5	0	
5400844	ELBOW CREEK SCHOOL	1	365	
5400846	ELBOW SCHOOL	1	103	
0400040	ELEANOR ROOSEVELT COMM LEARNING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5403130	CTR	5	100	
5403147	EXETER KINGDOM HALL	1	100	
5403126	FAMILY EDUCATION CENTER	1	50	
5403080	FRUIT PATCH INC	1	125	
5403080	GNI WATERMAN LLC	1	225	
	GOLDEN STATE VINTNERS	10	50	
5401076	GRAND VIEW SCHOOL	11	200	
5400620	HAVEN PACKING	2	150	
5403065	HELLWIG PRODUCTS CO INC	2	29	
5403141		8	498	
5400657	HESTER SCHOOL	1	100	
5400994	HOPE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	3	150	
5401033	HORIZON NUT LLC	3	42	
5402008	HOT SPRINGS SCHOOL	1	130	
5403121	INTERNATIONAL PAPER - EXETER BULK	1	33	
5403048	JD HEISKELL HOLDINGS LLC	4		
5403083	JODY FRESH COOLING CO - PWS	2	110	
5400699	KAWEAH HIGH SCHOOL	1	150	
5400624	KINGS RIVER ELEM SCHOOL	10	600	
5403146	LIBERTY SCHOOL	1+	400	
5403106	LO BUE BROS - EARLIBEST	1	99	
5402050	MILK SPECIALTIES GLOBAL	40	225	
5403140	MONARCH NUT CO	6	25	
5403032	MONROVIA NURSERY - NURSERY	2	400	
5403055	MONROVIA NURSERY - OFFICE	1	50	
5403127	MONROVIA VENICE HILLS NURSERY	5	30	
5401040	MOORE WALLACE - RR DONNELLEY	1	160	
5403152	MOUNTAIN VIEW COLD STORAGE	1+	25+	
5403128	MOZZARELLA FRESCA	2	150	
5403102	NEW LEAF COLD STORAGE	2	50	
5400713	OAK VALLEY SCHOOL	7	300	
5400636	OROSI HIGH SCHOOL	14	900	
5400972	OUTSIDE CREEK SCHOOL	2	125	
5400850	PACKWOOD SCHOOL	3	60	
5400519	PALO VERDE SCHOOL	26	500	
5400541	PARAMOUNT CITRUS	5	100	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 49 of 63

PWS Number			Number of Service Connections	
5400969	PENNISULA PACKAGING CO	1	200	
5403054	PFFJ, LLC	11	87	
5400882	PLEASANT VIEW WEST	9	527	
5400884	ROCKFORD SCHOOL	4	240	
5403118	SAPUTO DAIRY FOOD USA	1	65	
5400558	SAUCELITO ELEM SCHOOL	3	75	
5400709	SEQUOIA UNION SCHOOL	1	400	
5400638	SIERRA SCHOOL	1	40	
5400711	SIERRA VIEW JR ACADEMY	6	160	
5401004	STYROTEK	1	36	
5403133	SUMMITT CHARTER ACADEMY	6	250	
5402013	SUN PACIFIC SHIPPERS LP - EXETER	2	200	
5403031	SUN PACIFIC-TULARE	2	250	
5400714	SUNDALE UNION SCHOOL	11	450	
5403131	SUNNY ACRES WATER SYSTEM	2	80	
5400704	THREE RIVERS SCHOOL	1	300	
5403114	TRAVER FEEDMILL PWS	3	40	
5400639	TRAVER SCHOOL	8	300	
5403139	TREEHOUSE CALIFORNIA ALMONDS LLC	4	100	
5400797	TULARE CO CORRECTIONAL CTR RD CAMP	19	500	
5401039	TULARE COUNTY CIVIC CENTER	5	300	
5403050	TULARE COUNTY RECYCLING	2	40	
5402027	TULARE COUNTY ROAD YARD #2 PWS	4	60	
5401082	VENTURA COASTAL CORP	5	35	
5403119	VENTURA COASTAL, LLC	6	75	
5403010	VISALIA CITRUS PACKERS-WOODLAKE	7	100	
5403046	VISALIA CITRUS PACKING-ORANGE COVE	7	70	
5400795	WAUKENA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	5	230	
5403145	WAWONA PACKING COMPANY LLC	1	400	
5400864	WELLSPRING ACADEMY	13	300	
5400526	WES PAK, INC	4	50	
5403154	WILLITS EQUIPMENT CO	1+	25+	
	ntransient Noncommunity Water Systems		79	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 50 of 63

PWS Number	Water System Name	Population	Number of Service Connections	
5401053	ACID	1	150	
5400810	AKAL TRAVEL PLAZA	3	200	
5400708	ALPINE VILLAGE WATER CO.	45	90	
5403151	ARCO AM PM - PIXLEY	1	1000	
5400757	ARMY CORPS ENG-HORSE CREEK	30	100	
5400755	ARMY CORPS ENG-REC AREA	2	200	
5403137	ARMY CORPS ENG-SLICK ROCK	1	100	
5400696	ARMY CORPS ENGS-NORTH CAMP 4-2	4	25	
5400695	ARMY CORPS ENGS-SOUTH CAMP 3-1	4	100	
5401084	BADGER MTN HOUSE INC	1	25	
5400823	BALCH PARK	45	100	
5400922	BARTLETT PARK	60	66000	
5402019	BLANCA MARKET & DELI	3	25	
5400985	BOB'S TINY MART	3	25	
5400513	CAL HOT SPRINGS RESORT	59	50	
5400894	CAMP SAN JOAQUIN	9	80	
5401049	CAMP WILDERNESS	3	40	
5403047	CASILLAS WATER SYSTEM	6	30	
5403026	CDF-FRAISER MILL CAMPGROUND	19	300	
5403049	CDF-HEDRICK POND CAMPGROUND	7	70	
5403025	CDF-HIDDEN FALLS CAMPGROUND	8	90	
5403027	CDF-SHAKE CAMPGROUND	14	50	
5400921	CEDAR SLOPE WATER CO	59	25	
5403076	CENTRAL CAL TRISTEZA ERAD	5	25	
5403153	CIRCLE J - SCICON	1+	1000	
5400760	CLM-BELKNAP CAMPGROUND	4	30	
5400863	CLM-CAMP 3 CAMPGROUND	10	104	
5403109	CLM-COFFEE CAMP UPPER DAY USE	6	36	
5400945	CLM-COY FLAT CAMPGROUND	5	25	
5401089	CLM-ESHOM CAMPGROUND	15	63	
5400868	CLM-FAIRVIEW CAMPGROUND	1	125	
5400890	CLM-FIR&COVE GROUP CAMPGROUNDS	4	75	
5402017	CLM-GOLD LEDGE CAMPGROUND	13	74	
5400848	CLM-HEADQUARTERS CAMPGROUND	12	88	
5402016	CLM-HOSPITAL FLAT CAMP GROUND	11	80	
5400743	CLM-LOWER COFFEE CAMP	1+	1000	
5400743	CLM-QUAKING ASPEN CAMPGROUND	15	112	
5400766	CLM-REDWOOD MEADOW CAMPGROUND	4	30	
5400889	CLM-STONEY CREEK CAMPGROUND	20	133	
5400811	CLM-WHITE RIVER CAMPGROUND	4	25	
	CLM-WISHON CAMPGROUND	10	56	
5400738	CLUB ROYAL OAK	3	700	
5400549 5403062	COMFORT INN & SUITES	1	125	
2.55 St. 161 (Co. 16) Calcinos		2	104	
5403116	CORRAL CREEK	7	40	
5403148	COURAGE TO CHANGE	10	300	
5400583	CUTLER PARK	78	76	
5402057 5401093	DEER CREEK RV PARK DOYLE SPRINGS ASSN	51	300	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 51 of 63

PWS Number	Water System Name	Population	Number of Service Connections	
5403030	DRY CREEK DELI	1	50	
5403007	E M THARP INC	4	65	
5400602	EAGLE'S NEST RESORT	2	200	
5403143	ELDERWOOD MARKET	1+	1000	
5403041	FAMILY TREE FARMS	3	25	
5400896	FAR HORIZON CAMP	12	60	
5403142	FARIA'S RANCH MARKET	1+	1000	
5403143	FERRY FARMS LLC	2	80	
5400987	FOUNTAIN SPRINGS EL TAPATIO	2	25	
5403051	FRIENDS RV PARK	44	24	
5400692	GARDEN INN	1	40	
5403082	GIUMARRA BROS FRUIT CO	1	40	
5400762	GIUMARRA VINEYARDS 3 & 4	7	125	
5400763	GIUMARRA VINEYARDS 5 & 6	2	150	
5403089	GRUMPY BEARS	1	52	
5403150	HARI'S MARKET	1+	1000	
5400505	HARTLAND CHRISTIAN CAMP	4	140	
5403135	HARTLAND HOMEOWNERS ASSN	36	20	
5403157	HOME/BED & BREAKFAST	1+	1000	
5403090	IN & OUT FOODMART	1	75	
5400750	KAWEAH PARK RESORT	45	500	
5403088	KENNEDY MEADOWS GENERAL STORE	3	300	
	KINGS INN MOTEL	1	130	
5400548	KINGS INN MOTEL KINGS RIVER GOLF COURSE	1	500	
5401048	KINGS RIVER GOLF COURSE KINGS RIVER MARKET	2	25	
5402024	KINGS RIVER MARKET	3	150	
5401025		12	40	
5400761	LAKE ELOWIN RESORT	4	25	
5401080	LAKE EXPRESS MARKET	1	25	
5402025	LAKESIDE MINNIT MART	91	500	
5400518	LAKESIDE TRAILER PARK		12	
5400756	LAZY J RANCH MOTEL	25		
5400628	LEMON COVE-SEQUOIA CAMP	55	100	
5400537	LINDYS LANDING	2	500	
5401079	LIVESTOCK SALES YARD	2	60	
5403001	LOWER SPRINGS WATER CO	3	50	
5403086	MARTELLA LIVESTOCK AUCTION	2	50	
5400598	MCNALLY'S FAIRVIEW LODGE	19	35	
5402043	MONSON MARKET	2	25	
5400898	MONTECITO LAKE RESORT	10	3925	
5400951	MOONEY GROVE PARK	12	200	
5400679	MOTEL 99	4	60	
5403053	NS MINI MART	2	140	
5400918	OPEN COUNTRY SWAP MEET	5	300	
5403122	P C FOOD MART	1	500	
5403156	PAN AMERICAN BALLROOM	1+	1000	
5403120	PANADERIA LA CABANA	1	155	
5400509	PANORAMA HEIGHTS PROP OWNERS	109	100	
5400724	PANORAMA PARK FIRE PRO CO	58	90	
5400887	PARK WATER SYSTEM	7	20	

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 52 of 63

PWS Number	Water System Name	Population	Number of Service Connections
5403155	PEÑA'S DISPOSAL INC	1+	1000
5402056	PEOPLES GROCERY	2	25
5403081	PETERS FRUIT FARMS, INC	1	125
5400774	PIXLEY PARK	4	50
5400611	PORTERVILLE TRAILER PARK	25	80
5400511	POSO PARK ASSN	52	52
5402031	PREET MARKET	1	300
5403013	PRINCE MART	2	25
5400895	PYTHIAN YOUTH CAMP	13	275
5400703	QUAIL VALLEY REC VILLAGE	100	2500
5400961	QUAKER MEADOW CAMP	11	200
5400929	RIVERLAND RESORT	7	100
5401094	RJ'S TRAVEL CENTER	1	103
5400959	RM PYLES BOYS CAMP	5	110
5403072	ROGERS CAMP HOMEOWNERS ASSN	9	25
5402036	R-RANCH IN THE SEQUOIAS	115	500
5402030	SCICON	15	21
5402055	SEQUOIA HEIGHTS CAMPGROUND	7	110
5402033	SEQUOIA MEIGHTS CAMIF GROUND SEQUOIA MOTEL 1 & 2	9	47
5400743	SEQUOIA MOTEL 1 & 2 SEQUOIA RV RANCH	21	19
	SEVEN CIRCLES RETREAT	3	30
5403100	SEVEN CIRCLES RETREAT	2	100
5402039		1	25
5400917	SHOP N GO	6	150
5401099	SIERRA CHRISTIAN CAMP	1	25
5401092	SIERRA GLEN STORE	30	62
5400924	SIERRA LAKES MINISTER CAMP	24	45
5400916	SIERRA MASONIC WATER CO		60
5403073	SLATE MTN HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION	41	52
5400581	SPEAR CREEK CABIN OWNERS ASSOC	26	
5400737	ST ANTHONY'S RETREAT	3	130
5400552	STONEY CREEK - RESTAURANT/STORE	4	42
5400984	SUCCESS MARKET	4	25
5400722	SUGARLOAF MUTUAL WATER CO	30	25
5400543	SUGARLOAF VILLAGE MUTUAL W C	25	70
5403040	SUHOVY WATER SYSTEM	2	25
5403009	SUPER STOP	1	95
5400538	TCOVE	5	26
5403039	TEA POT DOME WATER CO	4	25
5401063	THARP REAL PROPERTIES	3	35
5403105	THE BARN	2	200
5400975	THE SEAFOOD CAFE	1	50
5403071	THREE RIVERS CHEVRON	1	50
5401022	THREE RIVERS GOLF COURSE	1	100
5400751	THREE RIVERS HIDEAWAY	14	50
5402037	THREE RIVERS LIBRARY	11	80
5403014	THREE RIVERS LIONS CLUB	3	100
5400838	THREE RIVERS VILLAGE	9	25
5402022	TRAVER VALLEY FARMS - PWS	3	25
5403011	USA PETRO SUPER STOP	2	25

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 53 of 63

ansient Noncomr PWS Number	nunity Water Systems Water System Name	Population	Number of Service
	Water Gystem Hame		Connections
5400820	USFS-BLACKROCK STATION	15	32
5403085	USFS-BLACKROCK TRAILHEAD	8	30
5401010	USFS-CAMP WHITSETT	7	1300
5400826	USFS-FISH CREEK CAMPGROUND	15	80
5403020	USFS-HORSE MEADOW CAMPGROUND	13	80
5400772	USFS-HOT SPRINGS RANGER STATION	8	48
5403034	USFS-JERKEY MEADOW TRAILHEAD	5	96
5403021	USFS-JOHNSONDALE WORK CENTER	13	25
5400827	USFS-KENNEDY CAMPGROUND	6	78
5400783	USFS-LOWER PEPPERMINT	4	34
5400765	USFS-PEPPERMINT WORK CENTER	14	30
5402026	USFS-TROY MEADOW CAMPGROUND	12	146
5403125	VICTORIAN GARDENS	2	200
5400507	VISALIA - FRESNO SOUTH KOA	43	150
5400928	VISALIA SALES YARD	4	500
5402030	WAUKENA MARKET	11	140
5400623	WESTERN - HOLIDAY LODGE	54	100
5400907	WHITE HORSE INN	3	200
tal Number of Tra	ansient Noncommunity Water Systems		164

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 54 of 63

Appendix I: CDPH Infrastructure Financing Programs

CDPH has several funding programs to assist small PWSs with improvements to bring the systems into compliance with State and federal regulations. The LPA forwards information related to the State funding programs to all water systems that have significant deficiencies. The information includes a pre-application for funding and the deadline for its submittal to the State. The LPA assists CDPH in evaluating proposed projects for the systems requesting funding under the various programs.

Table 18 lists water systems under the Tulare County LPA regulatory jurisdiction that currently have active executed funding projects (construction or planning & feasibility), are in the process of receiving a funding agreement, or have received a funding agreement for funding in one of CDPH's 3 funding programs: Proposition 50, Proposition 84, and the State Revolving Fund (SRF) in FY 2012-2013.

The California Rural Water Association (CRWA) and/or Self-Help Enterprises (SHE) are continuing to provide technical assistance to the small PWSs in Tulare County to complete the Drinking Water SRF applications and the mandatory elements of TMF. CRWA also provides technical assistance for completion of TMF capacity criteria for water systems changing ownership or those needing help or training on specific operational issues.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 55 of 63

Table 18: Water Systems Currently Seeking CDPH Funding

System Name	Funding Program	Project No.	Problem	Project Status
TRACT 403 MUTUAL WATER CO	Prop 50	5403129-001	Unfiltered Surface Water	Consolidating with North Kaweah, Construction FA Executed on 4/11/13
RODRIGUEZ LABOR CAMP	Prop 84	5400735-002	Consolidation with Richgrove CSD	Prop 84 Planning Study with Richgrove complete, invited to submit construction application. Construction application submitted on 7/12/13
SEQUOIA UNION SCHOOL	SRF	5400709-001	Nitrate compliance	Construction FA Executed on 5/3/13
SEVILLE WATER CO	Prop 84	5400550-007	Nitrate and water outages	Planning Study FA Executed on 11/27/12- in progress. FA amended on 9/9/13 to expand scope/budget
AKIN WATER CO	Prop 84	5401038-001	Excess nitrate – consolidation w Porterville	Planning Study FA Executed on 6/17/11- the max.18 months is complete. Issuing 2nd Planning FA to complete scope of work
OAK VALLEY SCHOOL	Prop 84	5400713-001	Arsenic	P84 Planning study FA executed on 6/17/11. Planning Project complete, invited for construction, SRF construction app received on 10/2/13
Ducor CSD	Prop84/SRF	5400542-005(P84) 5400542-003(SRF)	Planning/Feasibility Study to evaluate and develop water supply options to obtain and maintain clean, affordable, and adequate water supplies.	Co-funded Planning Study FA Executed on 1/5/12- in progress. Project near completion and will soon be invited to submit a construction application
Beverly Grand Mutual Water Company	Prop 84	5400651-001	Planning/Feasibility Study for water system that exceeds the nitrate MCL.	Planning Study FA Executed on 1/14/12 - the max.18 months is complete. Issuing 2nd Planning FA to complete scope of work
Buena Vista School	Prop 84	5400919-001	Planning/Feasibility Study for water system that exceeds the nitrate MCL.	Planning Study FA Executed on 6/21/11. Planning Project complete, invited for construction, SRF construction app received on 10/2/13
East Orosi CSD	Prop 84	5401003-003	Planning/Feasibility Study for water system that exceeds the nitrate MCL.	Planning Study FA Executed on 11/16/12- in progress
LEMON COVE WATER CO	SRF	5400616-001	Compliance with nitrate standard	Submitted planning application- FA expected to be issued by June 2014
WOODVILLE FARM LABOR CENTER	Prop 84	5400792-003	Nitrate compliance	Submitted planning application- FA expected to be issued by June 2014
DEL ORO RIVER ISLAND SERV TERR #2	SRF	5402048-001	Nitrate- Consolidation with Del Oro River Island Terr #1	Submitted planning application- in pipeline. Project has since been switched to a combined construction project with the Del Oro River Island Terr #1 SWTP project. Combined Construction FA for both projects expected to be issued by June 2014
Tooleville Mutual Water Co.	Prop 84	5400567-001	Planning/Feasibility Study for water system to drill new well or connect to the City of Exeter.	Planning Study FA Executed on 6/26/13- in progress

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 56 of 63

System Name	Funding Program	Project No.	Problem	Project Status
Waukena Elementary School	Prop 84	5400795-001	Planning/Feasibility Study for water system that exceeds the gross alpha, uranium, and nitrate MCLs.	Submitted planning application- FA expected to be issued by June 2014
Soults Mutual Water Company	Prop 84	5400805-004	Planning/Feasibility Study for water system that exceeds the nitrate MCL.	Submitted planning application- FA expected to be issued by June 2014
Allensworth C S D	SRF	5400544-001	Arsenic	Planning Study FA Executed on 4/29/13- in progress
Tract 92	SRF	5400903-002	Bacteriological Contamination- new source	Submitted planning application- FA expected to be issued by June 2014
PALO VERDE SCHOOL	SRF	5400519-001	Arsenic	Palo Verde failed to submit a planning application by 4/5/13. Project was invited again and the school responded that they would submit a SRF construction application by 12/20/13
EL MONTE VILLAGE M.H.P.	SRF	5400523-003	Nitrate compliance	Submitted planning application on 4/8/13- in pipeline
GLEANINGS FOR THE HUNGRY	SRF	5402047-001	Nitrate compliance	Submitted planning application on 9/20/13- in pipeline
KINGS INN MOTEL (Americas Best Value Inn)	SRF	5400548-001	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
CITRUS SOUTH TULE SCHOOL	SRF	5400555-001	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
TRAILER ISLE PARK (Sequoia RV Ranch)	SRF	5400629-001	Arsenic	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
TEVISTON C S	SRF	5400641-003	Insufficient water capacity- water outages	Submitted planning application on 4/5/13- in pipeline
WATERTEK - GRANDVIEW GARDENS	SRF	5400666-001	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
CENTRAL WATER CO.	SRF	5400682-002	Nitrate	Submitted planning application on 9/20/13- in pipeline
SO KAWEAH MUTUAL WATER CO	SRF	5400754-002	Arsenic	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
WEST GOSHEN MUTUAL WATER CO.	SRF	5400957-005	Nitrate	Construction FA Issued on 10/11/13 to consolidate with Cal Water- in progress
WESTLAKE VILLAGE M H P	SRF	5400966-002	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
HOPE ELEM SCHOOL	SRF	5400994-001	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
UC DAVIS-VET SCHOOL	SRF	5401006-003	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
TRACT 327 MUTUAL WATER CO.	SRF	5403103-001	Arsenic	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- nonresponsive to invitation
SIERRA MUTUAL WATER CO	SRF	5403110-001	Nitrate	Spring 2013 SRF Invite- water system requested to be Bypassed for funding consideration

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 57 of 63

System Name	Funding Program	Project No.	Problem	Project Status
CAL HOT SPRINGS RESORT	SRF	5400513-001	Arsenic	Project not listed on SRF PPL, P84 Bypassed project on 7/25/11 due to failure to meet application deadlines. Water System must submit a SRF Universal Pre-App
FAIRWAYS TRACT MUTUAL	Prop 84	5400663-001	Consolidated with Porterville	Project Complete
Sultana- Monson area	SRF	5400824-001	Nitrate- consolidate monson area with Sultana	Planning Study FA Issued on 8/6/13- in progress
Tipton- North Burnett Road system	SRF	5403108-001	Insufficient water capacity- water outages	Planning Study FA Executed on 6/26/13- in progress

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 58 of 63

Appendix J: CDPH Small Water System Program Plan

CDPH has developed a Small Water System Goal targeted to bring small community systems into sustainable compliance with primary drinking water standards. CDPH has developed an implementation plan that defines specific tasks to achieve the goal as well as measureable results of progress. The implementation plan includes the use of CDPH staff and coordination with LPA drinking water programs, technical assistance providers, and stakeholders to accomplish its goal. This comprehensive approach will address the specific violations and reduce the number of primary drinking water standard violations in California.

The Small Water System Program Plan Goal consists of a bringing approximately 63 of these systems back into compliance. Achieving this goal will bring the compliance rate of small water systems in the state from the present 92% to 95%, matching that of large community water systems. CDPH will focus internal efforts and third party provider services toward these listed systems in order to bring them into compliance. The intent is to direct attention and resources to these systems to help them secure funding that will solve their problems and to develop their TMF capacity to a level that will that will ensure sustainability into the future. The Program Plan tracks the progress of 183 community water systems that have between 15 and 999 service connections that are on the list. You can find information below related to the implementation and progress of the Small Water Systems Program Plan.

Table 19 lists water systems under the Tulare County LPA regulatory jurisdiction that are on the Program Plan list and what their status is to meet their milestones in returning to compliance with the primary drinking water standards.

Table 19: Water Systems Listed on the Small Water System Program Plan

PWS Number	Water System Name		Funding Program	Status
5401038	Akin Water Company	Nitrate	P84	P84 planning FA exc 6/16/11 but system will need 2nd planning FA
5400544	Allensworth CSD	Arsenic	SRF	SRF planning FA exc April 2013
5410050	Alpaugh Joint Powers Authority	Arsenic	P84	P84 Exc FA May 2010 but will need 2nd FA
5400651	Beverly Grand Mutual Water	Nitrate	P84	P84 R2- feasibility study (FA executed 1/14/2012). Consolidation with City of Porterville.
5400682	Central Water Company	Nitrate		Purchased by Plainview MWC April 2012. Still need to connect. responded to latest SRF invite - planning app due sept 20th
5400665	Del Oro - River Island Service Territory #01	Nitrate, Uranium	SRF	SRF application submitted and under review
5402048	Del Oro - River Island Service Territory #02	Nitrate	SRF	SRF application submitted and under review
5400542	Ducor CSD	Nitrate	SRF-P84	SRF-P84 planning cofund executed
5401003	East Orosi CSD	Nitrate	P84	P84 R3 - feasibility study FA ex 11/16/12
5400523	El Monte Village MHP	Nitrate	SRF	SRF planning application submitted April 2013 - App deemed incomplete, follow-up August 2013
5400663	Fairways Tract Mutual	Nitrate	P84	Water system consolidated with the City of Porterville on 12-12-11
5402047	Gleanings For The Hungry	Nitrate		Using POU for years to deliver clean water
5400660	Lake Success Mobile Lodge	Nitrate		Installed Ion-Exchange treatment
5400616	Lemon Cove Water Company	Nitrate	SRF	Processing SRF application for planning FA
5410052	LSID - El Rancho	Surface Water	P84	P84 feasibility (exc 6/28/10) completed; P84 construction F/exec April 2013
5410007	LSID - Tonyville	Nitrate	P84	P84 feasibility study complete but City of Lindsay cannot provide water due to their own issues; awaiting City of Lindsay SRF project
5410009	Pixley PUD	Arsenic	P84	P84 R3 - feasibility study FA executed 8/14/12
5410033	Pratt MWC	Arsenic	SRF-P84	SRF-Executed (003P), P84- Executed (003P) P84 R1 - feasibility study (complete 5/16/2012) P84 Construction

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 60 of 63

PWS Number	Water System Name		Funding Program	Status
5410024	Richgrove CSD	Nitrate	P84	FA exec August 2013 P84 R2 - feasibility study complete - transitioning to P84 construction. Part of Rodriguez Labor Camp Project
5400735	Rodriguez Labor Camp	Nitrate	P84	P84 R2 - feasibility study complete - transitioning to P84 construction. Part of Richgrove CSD consolidation project
5400550	Seville Water Company	Nitrate	P84	P84 Exc Emergency FA in addition to -007P, P84 R3 - feasibility study FA exc 11/28/12. Applied for P84 interim emergency funding.
5403110	Sierra MWC	Nitrate		Submitted compliance plan to County but has not made any progress
5400805	Soults MWC	Nitrate	P84	
5400567	Tooleville Water Company	Nitrate	SRF-P84	RTCd for nitrate violations on 9/2010. RAA currently right below MCL for both wells. SRF Planning FA exec June 2013
5400670	Triple R MWC	Nitrate		Met with County a year ago, discussed blending, POU, but no progress has been made
5400957	West Goshen MWC	Nitrate	SRF	Applied for P84 emergency funding. SRF application under review
5400966	Westlake Village MHP	Nitrate		Below nitrate MCL since April 2011. Consolidation with City of Visalia possible - only discussed
5403043	Yettem	Nitrate	P84	P84 R3 - feasibility study FA exc 11/28/12.

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 61 of 63

APPENDIX K: WATER SYSTEMS EXCEEDING A PRIMARY MCL(S) AND PROVIDING TREATMENT

PWS Number	System Name	PWS Classification*	MCL(s) Exceeding	Treatment Type Provided
54003009	Super Stop	NTNC	Carbon Tetrachloride	GAC
5401004	Styrotek	NTNC	DBCP, Nitrate	Ion Exchange
5403145	Wawona Packing Company	NTNC	DBCP	GAC
5401053	Charlie's	TNC	Nitrate	Ion Exchange
5403030	Dry Creek Deli	TNC	Nitrate	Ion Exchange
5400987	Fountain Springs El Tapatio	TNC	Nitrate	Ion Exchange
5402047	Gleanings for the Hungry	С	Nitrate	Ion Exchange
5400660	Lake Success Mobile Lodge	С	Nitrate	Ion Exchange

^{*}C=Community, P=Non-transient Non-community, or N=Transient Non-community

Appendix L: LPA FILE REVIEW

Revised 8/12/2013 Page 63 of 63

COUNTY NAME: T	ulare							
A. WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION								
System Name: We	System Name: West Goshen MWC				mber: 5400957	7		
Population: 400				Service Cor	nnections: 84			
Water System Classification: ⊠Community □Non-Transient Non-Community □Transient Non-Community □Other:								
System Physical Location Address: 5155 Ave. 308								
Operator Certifica	tion: ⊠D1 □l	D2	04D5	□T1 □T2 □	T3			
Notes: Water pern	nit does not ide	ntify operato	r certifi	cation requireme	nt.			
B. PERMIT IN	FORMATION							
Water Supply Peri	mit Date Issued:	2/20/2008	Permit	Number (if applic	able):			
State Formatted P	ermit?:	Permit >10		Old?:	Tech. Report Included:			
Xes		Yes(D)	∆ NO	0 NO(D)				
C. SOURCE(S) INFORMATION							
⊠ Groundwater	☐ Surface Wat	ter GW	UDI	Other:		Treatment Provided:		
Number of Sources: 2	Number of Sources:	Numbe Source		Number of Source	ces:	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Required Treatme	nt for: SWTR	R MCL-Pri	mary 🔲	MCL-Secondary [Cl ₂ Othe	r:		
Treatment Type:								
POU Provided: ☐ Yes ⊠ No			Fo	For what Constituent(s):				
Bottled Water Provided: ⊠ Yes □ No				For what Constituent(s): Nitrate – Prop. 84, interim bottled water.				
Notes:								

D. SANITARY SURVEY								
Date of Last Sanitary Conducted: 2/20/2008	atted Report?: ⊠ Yes □ No(D)							
Do the Sanitary Survey Reports Include all 8 I	Elements(see	below)?:	Yes⊡ No(D)					
Sanitary Survey Elements: Source, Treatment, Distribution, Storage, Pump Facilities, Monitoring/Reporting/Data Verification, Management/Operation, and Operator Certification.								
Is a Sanitary Survey Past Due Based on the 3	or 5 year Fre	quency: 🛛 🗎	Yes(D) 🗌 No					
Notes: Water quality delinquent.								
E. OPERATIONAL PLANS	E. E. San							
Bacteriological Sample Siting Plan: ⊠ Yes ☐ No(D)	Date of Las 7/18/2005	t BSSP:	BacT Monitoring Frequency: # per ⊠ Mon. □ Qtr.					
BSSP is > 10 Years Old?: \square Yes(D) \boxtimes No	BSSP Need	s Revisions	? ⊠ Yes(D) □ No					
Emergency Notificaton Plan: ⊠ Yes ☐ No(D)	Date of Las	t Emergency	y Notificaton Plan: 12/5/1997					
Water System Ops Plan?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)	Date of Las	t Water Syst	em Ops Plan:					
Notes: BSSP list old contacts-needs updating for contacts. ENP had a note with intials noting that the phone number for the water system is not good. ENP needs to be the state format.								
F. WATER QUALITY MONITORING								
Bacteriological Issues: # of MCL Violations is ☐ TCR MCLs or more= cont. Cl₂ is ☐ TCR M&R			Is Cl₂ Required or Optional (Precautionary): ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Is the LPA Requiring Water System to Sample	Source(s) fo	r TC+ (GWR)? : ☐ Yes ☐ No(D)					
Is the LPA Using WQI Last Sample/Next Due I	Reports?:	Yes 🛭 No(D)					
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Inorganic Chemicals (IOC): N/A 6/2008 due in June 2011			In Compliance?: ☐ Yes ☒ No(D)					
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOC): N/A 6/2008 due in June 2014			In Compliance?: ⊠ Yes □ No(D)					
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOC): N/A 4/2001 due in April 2004			In Compliance?: ☐ Yes ☒ No(D)					
Last Sample Date(s) of Required Radiological Chemicals: N/A 6/2008 due in June 2014			In Compliance?: ⊠ Yes ☐ No(D)					

G. WATER QUALITY MONITORING (CONTINUED)						
Last Sample Date(s) of Nitrate/Nitrite Chemicals : N/A quarterly monitoring required.	In Compliance?: ☐ Yes ☐ No(D)					
Last Sample Date(s) for Lead and Copper Rule: N/A 12/1999	In Compliance?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)					
Does the Water System have Unaddressed MCL Issues?: ⊠ Yes(D) □	No					
Notes:						
H. CONSUMER CONFIDENCE REPORTS (CCR) N/A						
Does the Water System have Previous Year CCR on File?: ⊠ Yes ☐ No	o(D) 2011					
Does the CCR Meet Reporting Criteria/Requirements?: Yes No(D) Not filled-out correctly.						
Was the Proof of Notification Verification Form Submitted to LPA and in the File: ⊠ Yes ☐ No(D)						
I. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS						
Do the Enforcement Actions Issued Meet the Addressing EA Criteria Below?: Yes No(D)						
 Enforcement actions need to have the following elements to be classified as an <u>AD</u>. The Action must describe the specific provision of statue or regulation that h The Action must cite the applicable provision of statue or regulation. The Action must describe specific actions to be performed by the water syst directives). The Action must include a compliance schedule. The Action must inform the water system that failure to comply with the Action (i.e. Civil Penalty Clause). 	em to return to compliance (i.e.					
Does the LPA Need to Work on Issuing Addressing Enforcement Action	ns?: 🗌 Yes(D) 🗍 No					
Is the Public Notification Included in the Enforcement Action Issued (T	ier 1/Tier 2)?:					
Is the LPA Using the Correct Public Notification Notices?: Yes No	o(D)					
Notes: LCR reported from the well for the CCR. Nitrates incorrect 201	1 results should be provided.					

J. OPERATIONAL REPORTS
For Surface Water Systems Only:
Is the Water System Submitting Monthly SWTR Monitoring Reports, including CT reports?: Yes No(D)
If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D)
For Conventional Surface Water Systems Only:
Is the Water System Submitting Quarterly TOC Monitoring Reports?: Yes No(D)
If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D)
For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only):
Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D)
Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D)
K. ADDITIONAL NOTES

COUNTY NAME: Tulare								
A. WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION								
System Name: Dry	/ Creek Deli			System Nur	System Number: 5403030			
Population:				Service Cor	nections:			
Water System Classification: ☐Community ☐Non-Transient Non-Community ☐Other:								
System Physical Location Address: 33454 Sierra Drive, Lemon Cove 93244								
Operator Certifica	tion: ⊠D1 □D2 □]D3]D5	⊠T1	ГЗ 🔲 Т4 🔲 Т5	j		
Notes: PWS was	formerly Foothill Fro	uit Co.						
B. PERMIT INI	FORMATION							
Water Supply Perr	mit Date Issued:5/31	/2012 Perr	nit Nu	umber (if applic	able):			
State Formatted P		mit >10 Year Yes(D) ⊠ No				rt Included:		
∑ Yes				treated water sa		ed. PN still required,		
but permitted for t	reatment-Culligan F	RESIN Tech S	SIR-10	00-HP				
C. SOURCE(S) INFORMATION							
⊠ Groundwater	☐ Surface Water	☐ GWUDI		Other:		Treatment Provided:		
Number of Sources: 1	Number of Sources:	Number of Sources:				⊠ Yes □ No		
Required Treatme	nt for: 🗌 SWTR 🔯	MCL-Primary	M	ICL-Secondary [Cl ₂ Othe	r:		
Treatment Type: IX	८ treatment installed	i						
POU Provided: Yes No For what Constituent(s):								
Bottled Water Provided: ☐ Yes ☒ No For what Constituent(s):								
Notes: TMF packet	et included.							

D. SANITARY SURV	/EY							
Date of Last Sanitary C	onducted: 5/24/2012		State Form	atted Repo	ort?: 🛛 Yes 🗌 No(D)			
Do the Sanitary Survey	Reports Include all 8 E	lements(see	below)?: 🗌	Yes⊠ No	(D)			
Sanitary Survey Elements: Source, Treatment, Distribution, Storage, Pump Facilities, Monitoring/Reporting/Data Verification, Management/Operation, and Operator Certification.								
Is a Sanitary Survey Pa								
Notes: Required treatement operator level not identified. The owner was listed, but unknown if he has a T1 license or what is required. M&R for treated water not monthly (used quarterly). BSSP lists a (T2/D2 Bob Protzman).								
E. OPERATIONAL F	PLANS							
Bacteriological Sample ⊠ Yes ☐ No(D)	Siting Plan:	Date of Las 11/20/2012	t BSSP:	BacT # 1	Monitoring Frequency: per ☐ Mon. ☒ Qtr.			
BSSP is > 10 Years Old	?: ☐ Yes(D) ⊠ No	BSSP Need	s Revisions	? ⊠ Yes(□))			
Emergency Notificaton	Plan: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)	Date of Las	t Emergenc	y Notificat	on Plan: 11/20/2012			
Water System Ops Plan	ı ?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)	Date of Las	t Water Syst	tem Ops P	lan: none available			
F. WATER QUALITY	Y MONITORING							
Bacteriological Issues: TCR MCLs TCR M&R	# of MCL Violations in or more= cont. Cl ₂ is a				uired or Optional nary): ☐ Yes ☐ No			
Is the LPA Requiring W	ater System to Sample	Source(s) fo	r TC+ (GWR)?:	☐ No(D)			
Is the LPA Using WQI L			Yes ⊠ No(D)				
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Inorganic Chemicals (IOC): ⊠ Nitrate treatment requires monthly treated; quarterly raw. Not conducting as required					liance?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)			
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOC): ⊠ N/A one sample required for TNC − not in database.					liance?: 🗌 Yes 🗌 No(D)			
Last Sample Date(s) of ⊠ one sample required f			SOC):	In Comp	liance?: 🗌 Yes 🗌 No(D)			
Last Sample Date(s) of ⊠ N/A one sample requi				In Comp	liance?: ☐ Yes ☐ No(D)			

Page | 2

G. WATER QUALITY MONITORING (CONTINUED)								
Last Sample Date(s) of Nitrate/Nitrite Chemicals : ☑ N/A Nitrate treatment requires monthly treated; quarterly raw. Not conducting as required	In Compliance?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)							
Last Sample Date(s) for Lead and Copper Rule: ☑ Not required for a TNC	In Compliance?: Yes No(D)							
Does the Water System have Unaddressed MCL Issues?: Yes(D)	No							
Notes: Need to change this water systms nitrate monitoring to montly due to the new permit that was issued 5/2012 for ion exchange treatement.								
H. CONSUMER CONFIDENCE REPORTS (CCR) ⊠ N/A								
Does the Water System have Previous Year CCR on File?: Yes No	o(D) N/A - Not required for a TNC							
Does the CCR Meet Reporting Criteria/Requirements?: Yes No(D)	N/A - Not required for a TNC							
Was the Proof of Notification Verification Form Submitted to LPA and i	in the File: Yes No(D)							
I. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS								
Do the Enforcement Actions Issued Meet the Addressing EA Criteria Below?: Yes No(D)								
 Enforcement actions need to have the following elements to be classified as an ADDRESSING ACTION: The Action must describe the specific provision of statue or regulation that has been violated. The Action must cite the applicable provision of statue or regulation. The Action must describe specific actions to be performed by the water system to return to compliance (i.e. directives). The Action must include a compliance schedule. The Action must inform the water system that failure to comply with the Action is, in and of itself, an enforceable action (i.e. Civil Penalty Clause). 								
Does the LPA Need to Work on Issuing Addressing Enforcement Action	ns?: 🗌 Yes(D) 🗌 No							
Is the Public Notification Included in the Enforcement Action Issued (Tier 1/Tier 2)?: ☐ Yes ☐ No(D)								
Is the LPA Using the Correct Public Notification Notices?: ⊠ Yes ☐ No	o(D)							
Notes: Permit was issued for ion exchange, but the water system is stiviolations and actually had a fine for not providing PN for 1Q2013. Let modifications, doesn't say for what is? Is it for ion exchange treateme	ter signed 5/25/2013 saying no							

J. OPERATIONAL REPORTS
For Surface Water Systems Only:
Is the Water System Submitting Monthly SWTR Monitoring Reports, including CT reports?: No(D)
If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D)
For Conventional Surface Water Systems Only:
Is the Water System Submitting Quarterly TOC Monitoring Reports?: Yes No(D)
If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D)
For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only):
Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D)
Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D)
K. ADDITIONAL NOTES
Permit issued for IX treatment May 12, 2012, however the system still providing PN for NO3 violation and they actually had a fine for not providing PN in the 1 st quarter of 2013. If they have approved IX treatment then PN is not required. This system provides treatment for a primary MCL and should be inspected once every 2 years.

COUNTY NAME: T	ulare								
A. WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION									
System Name: Eas	st Orosi			System Number: 5401003					
Population: 700				Service Con	nections: 13	0			
Water System Classification: ☐ Community ☐ Non-Transient Non-Community ☐ Transient Non-Community ☐ Other:									
System Physical Location Address: Lone Ave & Road 136, Orosi									
Operator Certifica	tion: ⊠D1 □I	D2	D5	□T1 □T2 □	Т3Т4Т5				
Notes: D1 Require	ed? CDO?	1.0			200				
B. PERMIT INI	ORMATION								
Water Supply Perr	mit Date Issued:	: 4/6/2006 Pe	rmit l	Number (if applica	able):				
State Formatted P ⊠ Yes □ No(D)	ermit?:	Permit >10 Ye ☐ Yes(D) ☒ N			rt Included: No(D)				
Notes:		100(2) 2	10 (2)	300)	⊠ Yes □ N				
C. SOURCE(S) INFORMATION								
⊠ Groundwater	☐ Surface Wat	ter GWUD	l	Other:		Treatment Provided:			
Number of Sources: 2	Number of Sources:	Number of Sources		Number of Sourc	es:	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Required Treatme	nt for: 🗌 SWTR	R	у	MCL-Secondary [☐ Cl₂ ☐ Othe	r:			
Treatment Type:									
POU Provided: ☐ Yes ☒ No For what Constituent(s):									
Bottled Water Provided: Yes No For what Constituent(s):									
Notes: Water System exce	ede nitrate MCI	applied for bott	led w	ater					
vvatei Gysteili exce	ous milate MOL,	, applied for bott	CG VV	ator.					

D. SANITARY SURV	/EY							
Date of Last Sanitary Conducted: ? State Form					atted Report?: Yes No(D)			
Do the Sanitary Survey	Reports Include all 8 E	lements(see	below)?:	Yes	⊠ No(D)			
Sanitary Survey Elements: Source Operator Certification.	Sanitary Survey Elements: Source, Treatment, Distribution, Storage, Pump Facilities, Monitoring/Reporting/Data Verification, Management/Operation, and							
Is a Sanitary Survey Pa	st Due Based on the 3 o	or 5 year Fre	quency: 🗌	Yes(l	D)			
Notes: 6/2012 inspection to investigate source coliform contamination.								
E. OPERATIONAL I	PLANS							
Bacteriological Sample ⊠ Yes □ No(D)	Siting Plan:	Date of Las	t BSSP: 11	/99?	BacT Monitoring Frequency: # 1 per ⊠ Mon. □ Qtr.			
BSSP is > 10 Years Old	?: ⊠ Yes(D) ☐ No	BSSP Need	ls Revisions	s? 🛚	Yes(D) No			
Emergency Notificaton	Plan: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)	Date of Las	t Emergend	y No	Notificaton Plan: ?			
Water System Ops Plan	n ?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)	Date of Las	t Water Sys	stem	em Ops Plan: ?			
Notes: ENP- needs upo	date – new form and vei	y old.						
BSSP is over 10 years old. Required to be updated every 10 years. Need updated form.								
F. WATER QUALITY	Y MONITORING							
Bacteriological Issues: ☑ TCR MCLs ☑ TCR M&R	II O I III O I I I I I I I I I I I I I				I₂ Required or Optional cautionary): ☐ Yes ☐ No			
Is the LPA Requiring W	ater System to Sample	Source(s) fo	r TC+ (GWI	₹)?: [⊠ Yes ☐ No(D)			
Is the LPA Using WQI L	ast Sample/Next Due R	eports?:	Yes 🛭 No([D)				
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Inorganic Chemicals (IOC):					Compliance?: Yes No(D)			
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOC):					Compliance?: Yes No(D)			
Last Sample Date(s) of Req. Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOC): N/A In Compliance?: Yes								
Last Sample Date(s) of Required Radiological Chemicals:			In	Compliance?: Yes No(D)				

G. WATER QUALITY MONITORING (CONTINUED)						
Last Sample Date(s) of Nitrate/Nitrite Chemicals :	In Compliance?: ☐ Yes ⊠ No(D)					
Last Sample Date(s) for Lead and Copper Rule:	In Compliance?: Yes No(D)					
Does the Water System have Unaddressed MCL Issues?: ⊠ Yes(D) □	No					
Notes: They have unadressed nitrate MCL issues.						
W.Q. out of date – past due on monitoring.						
H. CONSUMER CONFIDENCE REPORTS (CCR) N/A						
Does the Water System have Previous Year CCR on File?: \square Yes \boxtimes No	o(D) – Year 2011					
Does the CCR Meet Reporting Criteria/Requirements?: ☐ Yes ☒ No(D)	- shows lead at 16.05 ppb > MCL					
Was the Proof of Notification Verification Form Submitted to LPA and i	n the File: Yes No(D)					
I. ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS						
Do the Enforcement Actions Issued Meet the Addressing EA Criteria B						
 Enforcement actions need to have the following elements to be classified as an ADDRESSING ACTION: The Action must describe the specific provision of statue or regulation that has been violated. The Action must cite the applicable provision of statue or regulation. The Action must describe specific actions to be performed by the water system to return to compliance (i.e. directives). The Action must include a compliance schedule. The Action must inform the water system that failure to comply with the Action is, in and of itself, an enforceable action (i.e. Civil Penalty Clause). 						
Does the LPA Need to Work on Issuing Addressing Enforcement Action	ns?: 🗌 Yes(D) 🗌 No					
Is the Public Notification Included in the Enforcement Action Issued (T	ier 1/Tier 2)?:					
Is the LPA Using the Correct Public Notification Notices?: ⊠ Yes ☐ No						
Notes: They are not issuing the Public Notices at the correct frequenc was last submitted to the LPA on 3/14/2012.	ies. Nitrate proof of notification					

Is the Water System Submitting Monthly SWTR Monitoring Reports, including CT reports?: Yes No(D)	J. OPERATIONAL REPORTS
No(D) If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D) For Conventional Surface Water Systems Only: Is the Water System Submitting Quarterly TOC Monitoring Reports?: Yes No(D) If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D) For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only): Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D) Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D) Notes: K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	For Surface Water Systems Only:
Is the Water System Submitting Quarterly TOC Monitoring Reports?: Yes No(D)	
Is the Water System Submitting Quarterly TOC Monitoring Reports?: Yes No(D) If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D) For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only): Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D) Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D) Notes: K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D)
If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D) For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only): Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D) Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D) Notes: K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	For Conventional Surface Water Systems Only:
For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only): Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D) Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D) Notes: K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	Is the Water System Submitting Quarterly TOC Monitoring Reports?: Yes No(D)
Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D) Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D) Notes: K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	If Yes, Are they the State Formatted Reports? Yes No(D)
Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D) Notes: K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	For Chlorinated Groundwater Water Systems and Surface Water Systems Only (Community and NTNC only):
K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	Is the Water System Monitoring for DBP?: Yes No(D)
K. ADDITIONAL NOTES Even though the system was inspected in both 2011 and 2012, based on a file review during the Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	Does the Water System have a DBP Monitoring Plan on File?: Yes No(D)
Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-	K. ADDITIONAL NOTES
	Evaluation for both years, the system is still missing WQ monitoring, BSSP > 10 years old, old ENP that does not have adequate after hours phone numbers for State OES and Visalia District Contacts. Follow-

1	F28(#1)4	EVEL ADMATION OF DEFICIENCIES (D).
L.		EXPLAINATION OF DEFICIENCIES (D):
	•	Priority 1- Within 30 Days
	•	Priority 2- Within 60 Days
	•	Priority 3- Within 90 Days
	•	Priority 4- Deadline As stated
	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
	•	
	4.	
	æ.	
	5.	
	6.	
	7.	
	8.	
	-	
	9.	
	9.	
	1.5	
	10.	

Reviewer:	Date:	
-----------	-------	--